

Dear Kevin & Angela This STATEMENT sent recorded 20.1.2016 should not be ignored but responded to **within 48 hours** of the ROYAL MAIL recorded delivery time, to [mike@rake.net](mailto:mike@rake.net), failure to do so will represent your tacit agreement by acquiescence of its content... your statements are as follows;

Mr Kevin Anthony Clarke

6th January 2015

Re: In the matter of Ann Clarke, case number 10370284

Having read or should I say tried to read, comprehend and digest Michael's statement it only reinforces in my mind that mothers property in Blackpool should not be sold. This statement contains what I can only describe as ramblings on subjects that have no bearing on mums house. I actually fear for Michael's state of mental wellbeing. Should anything happen to him mother will have a home to return to.

There is absolutely no way my mother has written that statement let alone be able to understand the complex matters and details it goes into. I don't understand them myself. People can become institutionalised or radicalised and in my opinion my mother has become Michealized (no such word I know) but this is my feeling.

Selling that house would not be in her best interest but I am sure it will be Michaels. Maybe the proceeds would help him to buy the night club he has set his sights on. This would be of no benefit to our mother.

Yours sincerely, Kevin Clarke

From: Angie Wild Sent: 05 January 2016 21:01

To: Earley, Joanne Subject: Ann Clarke

Case number 10370284

I ANGELA WILD want to let it be known I am totally against the sale of my mothers house, if the house has to be sold I want the money's to be put back in the court of protection.

1. My mother is living out of the country as Micheal Clarke is on the run from the police because he has a prison sentence awaiting him.
2. Micheal has stated on social networking sites he intends to purchase a nightclub abroad with the money's.
3. Micheal has many failed business ventures and I don't want my mothers money going the same way.
4. My mother needs a house to come back to in the event of Michaels demise.
5. Micheal has posted home made videos on his web page asking the queen directly to let them back in the country without sending him to prison. This is not the actions of a stable man.

Yours faithfully Angela wild

From: Angie Wild Sent: 08 January 2016 08:52

To: Earley, Joanne Subject: Ann Clarke

I Angela wild and Kevin Clarke would like to request authorisation to gain access, to look after our mums house while she is out of the country as we believe it is being left unattended with a friend of Michaels having the keys and trying to sell it, a said Steve power.

Regards Angela wild and Kevin Clarke

I intend to deal with them line by line starting with KEVIN;

1. Your mother has made a choice not to continue to live in the UK with you or Angela or anyone else which was documented on video in THAILAND. In many CLINICAL NEURO SPECIALIST DOCTORS REPORTS one of which highlights the fact that; ann clearly has the capacity to choose where and with whom she wants to live and therefore what right do you think you have to control whether she sells her home or does not? In other words what clinical neuro background have you got to even attempt to STOP her choices and if you could are you not breaching court of protection guidelines of following a patient's wishes let alone them being from your own mother?
2. You tried to Comprehend & Digest RAMBLINGS you say – I ask here in my statement what is it that you know about court procedure ie Trustee Beneficiary & Executor? What is it that you know about LEGAL FICTIONS? Do you know anything about MARITIME ADMIRALTY LAW.. LAW of the SEA as opposed to laws of the land?? DO you know or are you familiar with GROSS FUDICIARY TRUST frauds upon your ALL CAPS name??? ARE you even aware of the MAGNA CARTA 1215 or the bill of rights etc or ARTICLE 61??? I suspect you know nothing?? PUT UP OR SHUT UP is my answer it is not my fault that you are an uneducated self-proclaimed alcoholic that chooses to turn a blind eye to the FRAUD THEFT MAL ADMINISTRATION & PERSECUTION inflicted upon your own flesh and blood, mother and brother.
3. Your mother did not write that statement, how very perceptive of you! AND rightly so... YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND THEM YOURSELF.. Which is entirely the point I make here.. How could you?? You are not educated enough to understand it! & nor do you want to be. YOUR mother has protected herself from the likes of YOU by making a WILL, a WILL that Judge Jackson agreed she had capacity to make, and after seeking the appropriate advice a will was drawn up and appropriately NOTARISED under the law which defined her rights under contrary to part 24 of the wills act 1837, should for EXAMPLE YOU or anyone else claim she is not capable of dealing with her affairs then her LIVING WILL EXECUTOR is INVOKED... ME! I realise this does not sit well with you but again it's her choice and her wish that YOU are NOT respecting! I find this all very disconcerting to think that my own flesh and blood would do such a thing to their own mother which is borne out over the years in your own personal lack of care for her but yet you want control of her! On the above basis it is quite feasible that a statement is written by me with her consent and is backed by her LIVING WILL! HOW stupid can you be?
4. AS regards my mental wellbeing I would like to say that before you sign me off to the mental institution you perhaps need to do some research on the SUBJECTS above that you clearly admit to KNOWING **fuck all about**.. "Excuse my French" but IGNORANCE is NO EXCUSE.
5. My mother becoming "Michaelized" as you say has actually been her 20 years saving grace from the likes of you that clearly would not lift one finger in the hand of care to aid her or help her when in need as was demonstrated in one of your trips to SPAIN when she needed help in the toilet YOU ran outside and summoned JAMES who is not a direct family member. Your one GOLDEN opportunity to show her you cared was tossed to one side, an act not forgotten. Your second opportunity came when she had to go into hospital in THAILAND for treatment costing £1700 that you nor the sister Angela would contribute a jot! Contributions raised by me from people who are not family but friends of me and mum. DID you act then in her best interest, NO, but yet ANGELA offered to get on a plane and to try and force her mother back.
6. Best interests for mum, exactly KEVIN what are they in your eyes because you have not actually stated them or are we to assume that you are actually a party to the last iPhone text at the bottom where Angela added your name. A text that wants the Judge to hand you the

keys for your mothers' property whilst your mother struggles? THAT'S NICE for you and SISTER ANGELA!! And obviously ONLY YOUR BEST INTERESTS! **BUT not your mothers!**

7. NIGHT CLUB PURCHASE – THE BENEFIT of. The last nightclub that I got involved in ie BAR 24/7 in Blackpool 2003-2008 did benefit my mother greatly as she visited every day to drink there with customers and that where investments were made, MUM owned it, proved in the last allegations by HUGH JONES when he was told to go to companies house and check the shareholdings.. There was only one.. ANN CLARKE.. LIES again KEVIN... and upon it's agreed sale of £135,000 the £17,000 DEPOSITS taken were made to ANN CLARKEs bank account.. later questioned by HUGH JONES so WHO benefitted over 5 years KEVIN?? YOUR lies is, just LIES. THAT particular **successful** venture over 5 years was only started because HUGH JONES was stealing fees of £507 per week from mum whilst only giving her £200 per week to live off. It was clear at that time to me and to mum that this court was not in mums best interests AT ALL. THIS is why any allegation of impropriety were on the part of her appointed DEPUTY Hugh Jones whom of course wanted to raise issue's that he thought he had uncovered but soon realised the reasons for the nightclub start-up was because of his thefts. Her stolen monies were never fully accounted for and to this day we do not know what the £26,377s 1<sup>st</sup> years charges were for, NOT THAT YOU GIVE A DAMN OF COURSE with you acting in your mums best interests???
- WHAT A CROCK OF SHITE YOU DRUM UP.

In Angela's statement

1. the man, mike is out the country from persecution of his legal fiction from an unlawful and not even legal court case against his legal fiction denying his natural rights let alone his human rights.. a stitch up by JEWdicialterrorism.com that is all linked to this courts frauds committed on mums estate that they treat as DEAD in a GROSS FUDICIARY TRUST FRAUD enabled by JUDGEs acting upon criminal instructions from a SATANIC MASONIC MAFIA CARTEL that want to STOP SILENCE GAGG & PERVERT the course of justice under natural common law. YES ANGIE this is the truth about a VOID order by a TYRANICAL Court of Protection Judge acting in delinquent BIAS that had no impartiality, fairness which underpin the protection of INJUSTICE
2. Social networking posting that she refers I believe is the following... **"TODAY - ON new year's eve I found a bar that has just today come empty in the heart of my kind of circuit... so having already started negotiations on price.. please wish me luck, because my NEW YEAR, 2016 needs to start in the trade and THE business I love - THEREs no business like SHOW BUSINESS like no business I KNOW everything about it is appealing!"** Where on this post does it refer to using my mum's money? If there is another post SHOW it. **This is a RENTAL.** YET Again my sister becomes entrapped in a lie not for the first time, which simply dismisses any credibility she may have as any sort of witness!! In my statement I asked to be presented with ANYTHING tangible that can prove any financial improprieties of over 20 years care and NO you just cannot provide ANY neither could HUGH JONES.. its just hearsay and TWADDLE.
3. Michael has failed in an odd business but he dusts himself down and gets up again to rain another day in another venture of which there has been **several successful ones** that SHE is all too aware of, but I can only think sibling jealousy is her motive for another pack of LIES.
4. You seem to be planning my death a little early. When am dead, am dead, BUT to deny mum her home comforts in-between whilst Angela enjoys them herself trying to blackmail or bribe her mother into returning to the UK, does NOT sit well with the **Best the interests for mum??**
5. To PETITION THE QUEEN is an Act that was brought by JOHN HEMMING MP whom is aware of these matters and so as for a petty little statement about not being a STABLE MAN? NO COMMENT other than thanks, for recognising my human being STATUS as a MAN petty or not.

6. AS regards Angie and Kevin's final text from her iPhone wanting to steal her mother's home in order to blackmail/bribe her mother to return, I think this just about nails it all right on the head about how much they DO NOT care about their own mothers choices and rights to those choices that ought to be respected especially by sons and daughters THAT here are clearly not in Ann's best interests but yet certainly ONLY in the best interests of ANGIE! It is so desperately SAD to see such matters here on paper that show our family for what they really are. I do believe KARMA will come up and bite them on the back in their old age and dictate what they can and cannot do with their own property.. I do hope I get to see it happen before my demise that here it seems is wished upon me early

**I am now going to turn to the more general picture in this matter which is more serious & relevant and no doubt not understandable to my own family or so it would seem.**

THE Judges concerned here being JACKSON & PELLING are failing to address the real issues that have been laid before them which I think is a deliberate ploy and a clever one too by trying to deflect attention away from the reality by involving sibling rivalry to suit their own criminal intent that is fully documented in perfected, notarised commercial affidavits of truth known as commercial liens.

This sort of deflection is known in their criminal industry as a false flag syndrome that now we are all too aware about such things but as you see here in smaller matters it is still used.

These LIENS of affidavits have been left unrebutted and as Judges know by fact that an unrebutted affidavit, all perfected becomes the lawful truth.

THAT now said and added to the additional served document entitled article 61 which documents the illegal entry into the EUROPEAN UNION without a peoples agreed mandate for such has pushed many beings into LAWFUL REBELLION which as stated is LAWFUL and I am one such human being.

My political thoughts and feelings are being Judged and used against me and my mum by inflicting upon us hearings we do not consent with or agree with by being LACED with a concoction of BIAS it beggars belief where an individual is expected to accept such a travesty of so called Justice that is just as it is seen... **INJUSTICE.**

ACTING under Natural common law ONLY as executor in mums living will which has been claimed and actioned in this case but which seems to be overshadowed by minor hearsay TWADDLE being bandied around in an effort for the criminals to keep joinder on this as a statute matter which it is **NO LONGER!**

Getting to the nitty gritty the UK state by its action of parens patriae has become liable for its very unpalatable actions of GROSS FUDICIARY TRUST FRAUD. Here as agents of the criminal state JACKSON tries to deflect attention from this, to keep control of jurisdiction when he has **NONE.**

I issued an order as executor that as of yet does not appear to have been complied with and further I have issued warnings in the form of a NOTICE of MISPRISION of TREASON that I am very serious about should the judiciary continue down this line of action that is a TREASON.

I would suggest Mr Jackson that you act with urgency upon the order I issued to you as my mother's EXECUTOR to pave the way for justice to be seen to be done.

I do believe that the sibling's statements that are rebutted show the utter contempt they have for their own mother, demonstrating they are NOT concerned for her **best interests, neither is the state!**

IF this matter is NOT dealt with in the manner outlined in the executor order then of course I will have no options left but to pursue you JACKSON and PELLING further on several fronts.

## **I will now turn my attention further to Ann's BEST INTERESTS**

1. **FRAUD** The first best interest for Ann would be that the court of protection via the OPG had NOT committed FRAUD upon her by not respecting her carer's actions on reporting FRAUD and in fact trying to dispose of her to prison for whistleblowing a multi-billion pound on going FRAUD not just on Ann but many others too such as the LEONARD LAWRENCE case, the Gary HOLLIS case, the YVONNE GODER case, the PETER HOFSHROER case, the JEAN JAMES case and countless other victims, too many to mention many being falsely imprisoned such as WANDA MADDOCKS case and the KATHY DANBY case. FRAUDS which at best, the investigation from the OPG had to offer lasted 7 long months and resulted in a 3 line email saying no wrong had been done! **WHOSE best interest was THAT in??** THE London PRO BONO charity barristers analysis of the case in January last year identified with ease a case to answer for Ann's losses which even after reporting these facts the court of protection conveniently ignore. Not only ignoring this but also ignoring ALL other facts that have **not been in Ann's Best Interests.**
2. **THEFT-** It is in Ann's best interest that THEFT reported be acted upon and thoroughly investigated by POLICE which has been reported 4 times but that white collar crimes of FRAUD are very low on the priority of the POLICE because they judge each reported crime on the potential merit of a prosecution in which it is a well-documented FACT that the criminal element running the show prefer COVER UP before EXPOSURE – **Not in Ann's best interest.**
3. **MAL ADMINISTRATION** – For Ann's best interest it would be, that the court of protection to get its house in order where there currently is no accountability, not just accountability of Ann's estate but the accountability of JUDGES whom are acting **ultra vires** now on an industrial scale of treasonous acts ignoring their OATHS of OFFICE that are **not in Ann's best interests.**
4. **CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE of INDUSTRIAL SCALE** – In Ann's best interest it would have been best to address the **200 page** <http://opg.me/urgentapplication01092015.pdf> by the reduced **8 page** <http://opg.me/jacksonorder.pdf> with the **5 page** <http://opg.me/vacateyourorder.pdf> NOTICE plus these **6 pages** here being **a total of 219 pages** of CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE by a dereliction of JUDICIAL DUTY under parens patriae which is finally capped with the **5 pages here of** <http://opg.me/NOTICEOFMISPRISIONofTREASON.pdf> **TOTAL = 224 pages.**
5. **PERSECUTION** – Of Ann and her carer is **not in her best interests** either and it would be Ann's best interest to put a STOP to THAT with immediate effect by the removal of PELLINGS VOID order but that the ruling criminal elite will no doubt continue... **NOT in Ann's best interest.**
6. **If this court really want to act in Ann's best interest –**
  - a) Provide accountability and scrutiny of Ann's stolen estate to a forensic level as obviously there has been and is prima facie evidence to support an investigation.
  - b) Provide accountability in the court arena where when required such as here under COMMON LAW required that it is not retained under the ADMIRALTY LAW of the SEA
  - c) Provide Judicial accountability instead of just words ie in your own words JACKSON 'the law is completely inaccessible to those it was intended' NICE WORDS now ACTION
  - d) Provide proof that the state has Ann's best interests at heart because where I stand NOW as EXECUTOR I have seen NONE.. not just in Ann's case but many others too.
  - e) The STATE of the UK STATE is such that criminality is running rampant throughout its fabric of governmental departments and justice systems that cannot be denied. THIS clearly is NOT in Ann's best interest to be any future part of **it in it's current form.**
  - f) WITHOUT clear and concise actions to remedy each and every persons claim of injustice, matters are only going to spiral out of control downwards a fact that does demonstrate **it's NOT in Ann's best interests to have property or money in the UK.**

We offer this page to start on remedy but we demand the complete removal of restriction on Ann's property and further as EXECUTOR I again emphasis you have no jurisdiction to hold Ann's assets of any form. [www.opposepredatoryguardians.com](http://www.opposepredatoryguardians.com) in short [www.opg.me](http://www.opg.me)

### **THE THEFT FRAUD AND MAL ADMINISTRATION OF A PATIENT WITH CAPACITY**

1. 1995 mum had an accident.
2. The hospital were negligent.
3. Lawsuit followed in a claim for £1.7 million pounds.
4. 6 years later in 2001 the matter settled early out of court compromised at £775,000
5. The parties involved said mum was incapacitated and needed involvement from CoP\*
6. In a hearing involving Judge Lush The Family and applicant to be receiver HUGH JONES
7. The Judge appointed the solicitor HUGH JONES in an order stating the fees are capped.
8. In a claim of capacity mum launched an appeal to be released from the court. 2001
9. I too of course backed it and helped with 2 doctors reports that concluded she had capacity.
10. Judge Denzil Lush sent his own doctor whom carried out a 40 minute appointment.
11. He did not agree on capacity and she was retained under the court of protection 2001.
12. The first years fees supposed to be no more than £2000 were £26,000
13. So mum was paid £200 week to live whilst her solicitor stole £507 weekly and hid the fact.
14. Accounts requested never given & in fact refused.
15. 2008 disillusioned we emigrated mum requested her remaining funds... refused by court.
16. Over 4 years the court by retaining her money in sterling lost a value of over £100,000
17. These accumulated in exchange rate losses countless complaints drew a response of zero.
18. After trying to sell her Uk property she then attempted to exchange it for a villa.
19. This was prevaricated delayed and over time lost by the solicitor trying to prevent it.
20. He then tried to sell her property quick by devaluing it.
21. We prevented this by returning to UK.
22. Mum tried to make a will over 11 years resisted by the deputy solicitor.
23. 2 more doctors reports were commissioned 2011 mum still had capacity 10 years later.
24. An application made to release her from the court.
25. The court sent in another of their doctors this doctor No 6 now, whom said she had capacity.
26. The Judge decided she had capacity to make a will but not to decide about her home.
27. Mum within 2 weeks made a LIVING WILL making me LIVING WILL executor.
28. Effectively anyone claiming she still was incapacitated on any subject I had authority.
29. Judge after reading the will said her house could not be sold in her lifetime ring fencing it.
30. After countless complaints about processes to all bodies known to man and no resolve.
31. We turned to common law and issued a common law commercial lien claim against solicitor.
32. Without a rebuttal to the allegations of theft fraud etc a tacit agreement would be in place.
33. This agreement with financial remedy attached would attach itself to all 50 directors.
34. No response came.. research followed on theirs properties to an attachment to land registry.
35. The solicitors suddenly responded with a harassment claim in a court denied a jury request.
36. Unlawful Injunction gained considered void by me led to another hearing of committal.
37. Unlawful court of committal grants a 3 month prison order without a requested jury!!
38. My mother and I fled the country into exile penniless!!
39. Her house now empty and justice still sought but none in sight we remain safe in exile.
40. The courts are operating in a state of TYRANNY without IMPARTIALITY and most definitely BIASED and UNFAIR doling out sentences from tribunals without consent. Court of Protection is a Court of Neglection, A CoN where fraud and theft is unabated. The matters contained above have been conveyed to the police 4 times, the SRA, The OPG, PHSO, 10 Downing St .

ACTING UNDER TRUST LAW

7 pages of statement made by: mike: clarke the executor of 2 estates ANN CLARKE & MICHAEL CLARKE  
Sent to siblings KEVIN ANTHONY CLARKE & ANGELA WILD  
Copied by recorded delivery to the appointed trustee Mr Justice PETER ARTHUR BRIAN JACKSON  
c/o COURT OF PROTECTION LONDON



### **Declaration of Copyright Trademark.**

i a man, am known by the name : &copy; [mikeclarke] michael raymond of the family: clarke, Creditor, Trustee, Secure Party, Author of the Copyright Name Trademark Claim autograph (MICHAEL RAYMOND CLARKE&trade;) or any derivative thereof HEREBY ATTEST THAT, **i am not dead** and i am competent and capable of handling my private and commercial affairs in my full capacity as Agent for the NAME: **MICHAEL RAYMOND CLARKE & trade;** and will enforce with prejudice any Copyright Name Trademark Claim infringements.

**ALL RIGHTS RESERVED 24<sup>th</sup> September 2012**

Once jurisdiction is challenged, it must be proven. " Hagens v> lavine, 415 U.S. 533

No sanction can be imposed absent of proof of jurisdiction"/ Standard v. Olsen, 74S. Ct, 768

"A court of jurisdiction is presumed to be acting within its jurisdiction till the contrary is shown" Brown, jur Section 202; Wright V. Douglas, 10 Barb.. (N.Y.) 97; Town of Hunnington v. Town of Charlotte, 15 Vt. 46

"The burden is on the defendant to show the nonexistence of jurisdictional facts" Russell v. Butler (Tex Civ app) 47 S.W. 406l Gilchrist v. Oil Land Co., 21 W. Va 115