This needs to be sent far and wide, and everyone with evidence to give about court corruption, maladministration, and other corruption needs to bombard HELEN GRANT with emails and documents. Fill up her email box.

Make sure you copy the Daily Mail into it the email you send her. They might publish. Copying your MP and other ones would be a good idea too. She needs to know that others have read your correspondence.

I cannot present your story and evidence for you, but I know most of you have documents, irregular court rubber stamp dates, unlawful court hearings plus stories of corruption and maladministration.

I think everyone should go for it
Sent: March-01-13 5:49 PM
To: 'bellinghamh@parliament.uk'
Cc: 'helen.grant.mp@parliament.uk'; 'duncan.hames.mp@parliament.uk'; 'amyas.morse@nao.gsi.gov.uk'
Subject: HELEN GRANT LETTER EH/HCB/JAMES/10/11

Dear Mr. Bellingham:

Thank you for your letter of 14th February, 2013, enclosing a copy of Helen Grant (Under-Secretary of State for Justice)’s letter of 11th February 2013, in which she dismisses, without interest, my “general allegations of corruption and maladministration” without requesting the evidence. Some information has been published in the press. http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2074775/Fine-farce-Watchdog-rejects-court-accounts-fears-1bn-unpaid-penalties-collected.html I am sure Mr. Morse, the Auditor General, can provide Ms. Grant with full documentation on this, and other matters.

However, I would need to know specifically which of my correspondence Ms. Grant is referring to because, as you know, I have sent out much correspondence about court irregularities and other corruption, much of which is now coming out in the media. This includes rampant police corruption and the gagging of whistleblowers, to name but two. An interesting third is the very recent revelation of judicial corruption, in the name of Judge Briscoe http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/9894918/Judge-Constance-Briscoe-arrested-for-lying-to-police-about-role-in-exposing-Chris-Huhne.html. Fortunately, the media is starting to report these matters and police, in a few instances, are investigating.

It is well known that the courts are involved in gagging those who have the public interest at heart http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2013/feb/14/nhs-whistleblower-quit-gagged

It would be misguided to suggest therefore, as Ms. Grant has done, that there is no evidence to support these allegations. She only needs to read the newspapers.

She also states that responding to your letter of 7th October 2011 in February 2013 is completely unacceptable, and I agree. This is also maladministration. However, most mail from the public NEVER receives a reply at all.

By way of this communication, I am requesting clarification from Ms. Grant regarding her statement: “I have raised my concerns about the issues which led to this with the Permanent Secretary, and I am seeking her reassurance that they will not recur in the future”.
Could Ms. Grant please expand on this?

I note with interest her paragraphs:

“Ms James letter to your office contains a wide range of general allegations about perceived corruption and maladministration within HM Courts and Tribunals Service and wider Government. There is no evidence to support these allegations, and I do not intend to comment or investigate them. However, I would like to assure Ms. James that each case that comes before either a court or tribunal is considered impartially by an independent Judiciary.”

And

“If documents submitted as evidence are lost or not available at the hearing, the judge can adjourn the hearing. If the judge or parties were unaware that the documents were not available, the unsuccessful party can ask for the decision to be set aside. Furthermore, the majority of court and tribunal decisions also attract a right of appeal to a higher court. The appeals process exists to ensure that court and tribunal decisions are correct, and have been made in accordance with the law.”

Again, I shall be grateful to the Rt. Hon. Ms. Grant if she will perhaps quote my correspondence as several years have passed and I have written much. I look forward to receiving this.

“I hope my reply is helpful. I enclose a copy for you to send to Ms. James, should you wish to do so.”

I have taken the liberty of forwarding Ms. Grant’s comments to various interested parties, who may wish to contact her directly with the evidence that she seeks of court irregularities and deviation from common law. Hopefully they will oblige. There are many people who have complained that court procedures are not being followed. Some of this also arises because local councils now issue their own court documents and hold meetings in court houses with the HM insignia covered with a cloth, in order to give the perception that the rented room is a court of law, which it is not. Most often this is in order to obtain council taxes without going through the proper channels.

Security is extremely lax in the Court of Protection, otherwise this case could not have arisen: http://www.lincs.police.uk/News-Centre/News-Releases/13-01-2011-Money-Laundering-Court-Result.html

I know of a gagging order issued to prevent someone speaking out about alleged fraud by way of false Power of Attorney and the Court of Protection, and forged property conveyance documents facilitated by a prominent international law firm. Police appear either to have been prevented from investigating, or they are refusing under their own steam because they will not investigate government. I know of several cases where the Court of Protection has aided and abetted by refusing to properly investigate complaints.

The Ministry of Justice turning a blind eye to the problem is not a solution, and they should actively be seeking evidence and not dismissing allegations out of hand. However, this would fall under maladministration and a culture of cover up and denial.

Thank you for forwarding my concerns to the Ministry of Justice, regardless of their response, which was fully anticipated. Yours sincerely, xxxx