

19th November 2017

NOTICE to Agent is NOTICE to Principal
NOTICE to Principal is NOTICE to Agent

FAO

susan-elizabeth: ACLAND-HOOD ANDREWS

23 Arrow Rd Bow LONDON E3 3HE

CEO of the company, business known as the Ministry of Justice



Your intimidation by Police harassment has just upgraded my allegation against you, the CEO, in the business, known as, **The Ministry of Justice** for the purpose of **Racketeering!**

A racketeer is someone who makes money from illegal activities such as **threatening people** or selling worthless, immoral, or illegal services, purporting to belong to her majesty whilst preventing public access, a denial of due process, that you are also accused of. You told police the case was over, when the case was nothing more than a fraud, that you have failed to disclose to the police, the evidence.

You clearly now are using the 'harassment act' as a conduit to facilitate fraud upon the court.

When seeing or experiencing what I consider to be a crime it is my duty to act upon that crime and by serving you with a statement, under the threat of perjury, requiring you fulfil your duty under the companies act and the fraud act S.12, answer to the allegations within that statement. Your duty is to respond, but your action has been, to underline & emphasize without any doubt the crimes that were previously inflicted on me and my mother, that of, **persecution,** theft, fraud and mal administration with **malfeasance in public office & misprision of treason,** is now **being topped by RACKETEERING.**

In this phone call by the police to my home today on Sunday morning, it is in fact a public servant threatening me, from exposing your condonment of worthless immoral Illegal services from your place of business, purporting to be HMCTS. <http://opg.me/POLICEphone1020amSUNDAY19112017.mp3>

I have served upon you an interlocutory notice of lien attachment requiring rebuttal by the **8th Dec 2017** <http://opg.me/interlocutoryNOTICEtoCEOofHMCTSusan06112017.pdf>

You instructed staff to respond in which there were 5 different email responses, non of which answered any of my questions, the matter escalated to your senior investigator Richard Redgrave whom sent me the following **threat!** <http://opg.me/HMCTSreplyviaRICHARDREDGRAVE09112017.pdf>

Richard was served the following interlocutory notice of lien attachment requiring rebuttal by **14th Dec 2017** <http://opg.me/INTERLOCUTORYlettertoRichardRedgraveHMCTS10112017.pdf>

This has escalated resulting in todays **intimidation by harassment** directed from you, via police contact with me, attempting to hide the business of **RACKETEERING,** by threats of menace, a tort, by the CEO Ministry of Justice.

This notice will be copied to **LANCASHIRE POLICE** ref no. U/34514/07/FA

This notice will be copied to **POLICE STANDARDS** ref **2017/094148**

This notice will be copied to **ACTION FRAUD LONDON** NFRC170301793603

This notice will be copied to **NATIONAL FRAUD INVESTIGATION BUREAU** - ref Net 6563898002702


This notice will be copied to **IPCC** number is 2017/094148

This notice will be copied for what it's worth to our **MP GORDON MARSDEN.**

This notice will be filed against you in a GRAND JURY COURT failing, HMCTS providing any remedy.

This notice will be attached to the commercial lien

It is without any admission of any liability whatsoever, & with all my natural Indefeasible Rights reserved & it is without ANY ill will, frivolity or vexation



mike@rake.net

Susan-elizabeth: ACLAND-HOOD ANDREWS,

SUSAN ELIZABETH ACLAND-HOOD ANDREWS

ST PAULS WAY SCHOOL 125 ST PAULS WAY LONDON E3 4FT

Information from Public Register

Nationality: BRITISH
Country of Residence: ENGLAND
Occupation: SERVICE HEAD STRATEGY

her postion **prior** to becoming **susan ACLAND HOOD** the CEO of HMCTS.

She was service head of strategy!

So the question is now, what is her strategy for HMCTS because her twitter account portrays her as

vowing to listen to the public.....

Susan Acland-Hood Retweeted



Law Society Gazette @lawsocgazette · Sep 19
@CEOofHMCTS pledges to listen to users to get full picture of the ongoing reform programme in newly published blog



Courts service stretched 'to the limit', admits HMCTS chief
Susan Acland-Hood vows to listen more to the public and professionals about how the system can be improved.

but the reality is quite the opposite by not placing the public in the postion she portrays.

Upon my telephone call to susan's home, albeit extremely brief, was to confirm service of documents in which she claimed was highly inappropriate.

My mum's life being trashed at the disabled age of 77, by HMCTS is in my opinion very appropriate considering our, **in exile** wait to find justice of **5 years is an absolute joke.**

So susan, let's put things into perspective.

Why don't you enlighten the public with your legal or lawful training that qualifies you to be in the position of consuming £3000 per week of tax payers money seeing as your staff appear to be **extremely concerned about tax payers money**, being misused to correspond with what are in reality, **the abused by HMCTS!** It seems perfectly clear that there is a two tier justice system operating where the poor are forced into only one section and its this section that is committing **fraud on a grand scale.**

Questions have been posed to the CEO whom has chose according to letters received, to have others respond on her behalf and thus responding with gibberish, nothing to do with the questions posed.

Employers are vicariously liable under the doctrine of "respondeat superior" for the negligent acts or omissions by their employees in the course of employment. The key phrase is "in the course of employment".

I cannot make it any clearer than I have, your staff have not answered the questions posed to **YOU** making their acts and omissions to answer **your liability susan.**

Your staff have also, in attempting to deflect the questions, have quoted the misuse of tax payers money and the **threat** of harassment charges, in their attempt to deflect the questions and intimidate the public. This is vicarious liability for FRAUD in the course of employment.

You as CEO bear full responsisbilty for such. You are the one stealing tax payers money at the rate of £3000 weekly by not standing up to your responsibilities. Susan, please show some respect for the elderly [my mum 77] and do as you publish, **LISTEN to the public and ACT appropriately!**

These matters are not going to go away, putting up a brick wall is not the **strategy** one would expect from a civil servant receiving £150,000 per year of tax payers money that is also issuing threats of harassment! **Mike: Clarke** email mike@rake.net published on website www.opg.me