

OPEN LETTER

31 Cherry Tree Rd
Blackpool FY4 4NS

Pannone LLP
123 Deansgate, Manchester M3 2BU

Ref: Your letter 30th January 2012

Dear Sirs

This letter is addressed to all partners against whom our commercial lien of £9 million pound is perfected and thus the debt is owed. It is my common law right to tell the truth and publish the details of this debt wherever I choose. Please also be advised that I am being rushed to your "so called" justice. Any reference to a "defence" is a mistake. No "defence" is offered. No "plea" is offered. No "plea" is given. As previously advised, I do not consent to any "hearing". I will respect only a decision in a common law court with a jury. With reference to the so called "order" by Mrs Justice Sharp, it has no validity what so ever, as I have not and did not consent to the hearing as per my affidavit in which was given to the court acknowledged by yourself. With reference to Mrs Justice Sharp's order it is my understanding that this was an administrative hearing and has no validity what so ever as can be demonstrated by the lack of a signature.

Where there is a cheque there is a signature, and without one the cheque has no validity. It should also be noted that I attended the court as a courtesy to advise the court of my position at the requested time of 10am where upon found the court doors locked and some vague advice of an adjournment. My affidavit for the court was taken from me by Pannone and court legal advisors and so my affidavit served to the court done.

Any attempt by any individual to intercede in this lawful process of a perfected commercial lien would be deemed to be an attempt to pervert the course of justice. This then being a tort against me, thus giving rise to a further commercial lien under common law.

Contempt of court is a common law offence and an attempt to impose the same without the benefit of a common law court would cause me a further tort and by itself warrant a further commercial lien. It should be noted that Mrs Sharp's actions of trying to prevent my common law rights that Mrs Sharp is causing me a tort and has exposed herself to a commercial lien under common law process.

I have made my position perfectly clear and I hereby re-iterate, I will not consent to any hearings or procedures unless they are common law courts. It is my understanding that the hearing on the 26th January 2012 was an administrative hearing subject to the consent of all parties and as you are aware via my affidavit, **I did not consent.**

In relation to the term "defence statement" this should not be interpreted to have had any meaning under the provision of statute law. You should take it to mean advice as in, to inform you and not to be taken or interpreted as giving any consent, as this was clearly defined in my affidavit.

The "order" so called, has no validity and the suggestion that the court being an administrative hearing can impose their authority over our common law rights is a non-sense. With reference to any "hearing" in which you wish to engage that is not in a common law court with a jury, I will not be attending.

I have a perfected lien against you and I will do whatever is necessary to collect the debt. The attempt to issue an injunction against me is recognition of my "commercial lien".

Michael of the Clarke family

