

**18.4.2016 Reply in bold red by [mike@rake.net](mailto:mike@rake.net) the carer & invoked living will executor of ANN CLARKE s living estate to protect as per the terms of <http://www.opg.me/will20082012.pdf> Statement for the case number 10370284 from Kevin Clarke and Angela Wild.**

There are numerous reasons why we feel that Ann Clarke should be brought back to England:-  
We believe Mike Clarke is not a fit carer and never has been. **Then how have I managed to complete a full 20 years? If I were not fit why did you not object in the 1<sup>st</sup> 6 years when there was no money? Mother has only ever been used for money. 1<sup>st</sup> 6 years 1995-2001 and last 4 years 2012-2016 she had none that's half of the entire period of 20 years so who is using who?**

Over the years we have seen mums neglect and financial abuse by Mike Clarke. **Proof DEMANDED. On the 20<sup>th</sup> January 2016 you received by recorded delivery an opportunity with 48 hours to reply but nothing was returned, here in this statement <http://opg.me/statementtojackson2016.pdf> . The refusal to respond with proof meant we are in tacit agreement there is none making this further allegation usable to lay charges against you now that I am going to do, just that.**

Numerous letters and complaints have been made to Hugh Jones in the past, even family members who have holidayed in Spain with her have witnessed this. **Yes and 6 opposite statements made by people of standing ie EX BA PILOTS and business people on the Costa del Sol that failed to agree.**

They also have complained that Mike Clarke has bullied his way around the family with his threats and verbal aggression. **DO not know what you are talking about, quite the contrary when you came on holiday despite my needing respite, you used us to fund your holidays!**

This has resulted in all family members stopping visiting mum and nana. **ALL? I beg to differ and so would your own eldest son [Jay Jones](#) whom on his last visit took me and James out drinking at his expense making it abundantly clear he has no such feelings like that and after paying for us to go out, at the end of it your eldest son gave me money to get supplies etc! Likewise mum's sister Kath and her daughter Lisa visit regular without making such vile comments you do. The only ones that are playing your vile game are the ones you personally Angela have poisoned with your own venom.**

When family members have holidayed in Spain, they have witnessed a lack of food in the home, a lack of Ann's personal care, for example, only being bathed once a week. **Then if this is true WHY did you not bathe her yourself?? Is it because you can't? / Won't... Don't care? An example of your care is when you came here to mums hospital bed you bought her a toothbrush and toothpaste but not once did you use it on her knowing full well she cannot use it herself?**

Mike Clarke has slandered Angela's name that much to mum, mum is a vulnerable lady and he should not be saying the things he does to her. **NEVER said anything to mum but the truth to which incidentally, she is aware of Angela & her failure of her to pay mum back what she owes her for when mum sold Angie her house at a seriously reduced price that Angela made substantial profits on, but still owes mum £4950 & to add insult to injury evicted her own mother out of that house, likewise when mum awoke in her hospital bed to ask where Angela's present was of a woollen cardigan I had no choice but to tell her Angela had taken it herself back to the UK with her.**

His financial abuse of mum is disgusting and disturbing. It should not have been allowed to go on for so long. **That's rich coming from Angela perhaps she should consider paying mum back what she owes mum before Angela is put before court for the debt of £4950 plus interest. The only financial abuse apart from Angela's has been from the Court of 'Protection' deputy Hugh Jones & his associated colleagues of the Court of 'Protection' users all meticulously documented on [www.opposepredatoryguardians.com](http://www.opposepredatoryguardians.com) or [www.UKrefugees.com](http://www.UKrefugees.com) or [www.opg.me](http://www.opg.me)**

Whilst living in blackpool, mum was left in the care of a cleaner and lodgers whilst Mike was in Thailand. **No such place, but Thailand, adequate care was provided I have no idea to what he/she refers and that family have always refused to care for mum whilst I took much needed respite.**

We believe mum has had no benefits from her money. **That's funny because after 20 years she still does not want to be in your 'care'! Or for that matter the governments either so I must be doing something right by her!**

Mum would have had a better quality of life surrounded by her wider family members. There are great grandchildren that she has never even met. We feel that she is missing out on so much family time. **Same for me & YES Angela & Kevin that's because of your pig headed ignorance to the facts and the reality of what's gone on and the fact that ALL you have wanted is to stuff mum in a care home, near to you, so you can pop in when your conscience pricks you, without one single thought for what your mother wants, who incidentally has capacity to choice! You also had your opportunity to share care in 2001 when HUGH JONES sent letters to you all about the objections to mum moving to Blackpool and that if you all registered on a shared care timetable we would have moved back to Oldham but not one of you responded to offer shared care after 10 days to think?**

The deterioration of mums blackpool property is solely due to Mike Clarke. Mum should be living in and being cared for in her own home. **Errr! Do you not keep abreast of the NEWS? The court of protection want to put Mike in prison unless he apologises for speaking the truth, in a commercial lien notarised, affidavit statement and at that point it would be perfect to put mum in a home, sell the house and split the proceeds of crime between you and the corrupt courts err? NO! NOT SAFE!**

Mum looks frail and we do not think that Mike Clarke is capable of caring for her. **Try spell checker as its frail! SHE looks like this because the court have stolen her money and her property and her freedom and you are an accessory to these facts, she cannot be FREE and where or with whom she pleases because of HUMAN RIGHTS breaches that you are backing by your actions, it's you that's killing her with your collusion & conspiracy with this court. IF she'd of been left to this court and you she would probably have been dead 15 years ago!**

When mum was admitted to hospital in Spain and she calorific and protein malnutrition, bedsores that were severely infected and kidney failure due to dehydration. See attached doctors report to substantiate these claims. **SUBSTANTIATE, again spell checker or even a simple dictionary would do but yes mum had dehydration that lots of older people suffer & in turn resulted in her not eating or drinking to which at the time reference was made about that in THAILAND when we urgently needed to pay for medical treatment that you refused to help and I quote "not one penny would be coming from you" but that beside owing your own mother £4950 you would get on a plane at £500 and bring mum back to you in the UK against her wishes, with 2 flights back @ £500 each total = £1500. It was James's family that stepped in to help! And I must point out that the failure of the court to address mums losses meant we were not returning to the UK and so mums house was put up for sale 6 months prior in JUNE 2015.**

**In November 2015 statements were made about mum needing a proper bed all to no avail. YOU just want to pigeon hole your mother to suit your own ends and that court of corruption.**

We are requesting keys to mums home, to assess the situation. **ASSESS has 4 ss in it not 3!**  
Kevin is a builder, along with his son and Angie's son. **KEVIN is NOT a BUILDER he is a DIYer! He has no, repeat NONE, qualifications as a builder and his DIY'ing leaves a lot left to be desired!**  
They will be able to put right any work that needs doing to the property. **NO THEY WON'T**

We hope then to formulate a plan to rent the property and give Ann an income. **TAKE NOTE of the word HOPE which says it ALL.**

Mike Clarke has used Ann as a cash cow. **IF ONLY! Her money was under the control of the court of 'protection' that has committed THEFT, FRAUD & MAL ADMINISTRATION stated under the oath of a notary and if I were telling lies it would have been perjury that could have got me into prison but they know at the court and so do you, that I was not telling lies but the truth the whole truth nothing but, and I hasten to add that for 10 years, 6 before 2001 & 4 after 2012 there has been no money so who has been the cash cow?, because it was me supporting mum before 2001.**

He has got away with this for years and thus far, no one has been able to stop him. Mum has been dragged from pillar to post to facilitate Mike Clarke's lifestyle. **What can I smell? Oh is that bullshit? Oh you do sound like an orchestrated article from a newspaper being fed bullshit too from this corrupt court. Can I refer you to the 900 or so comments section of the Daily Mail article online where over 95% of the comments were on my side and such comments were "well she don't look like she is being dragged to me" "she looks happy, well presented and enjoying herself" and "when I get to 75 could you drag me around the world too" and "there's more to this article than the Daily Mail are letting on?" and "sounds like the sister is after the money".**

Angela Wild is a foster carer, her husband is a business man, **YES they do sound like pillars of the community as described in <http://www.opg.me/angelaletter13042016.pdf> paragraph 7**, between them they own several properties **one of which she did not complete payment on to her own mother**, if Mike Clarke has an issue with Angela looking after mums property, **YES WE DO** then we are more than happy to split that responsibility between Angela and Kevin. Kevin and his wife Tracey could look after mums property whilst Angela offers support from the side lines. **Kevin can't even spell. KEVIN is NOT a BUILDER repeat NOT., provide proof Kevin of your so called 'expertise', he is a DIYer! whom wants to take his hammer and nails to mums property when we have a real builder.**

Respectfully?? **If either of you had any respect, you would respect your mothers wishes!**

Angela Wild and Kevin Clarke

To Mr Judge Jackson      Ref: Steve Power (the builder)      Ref: Ann Clarke 10370284

I, Kevin Clarke would like to express my views on the statement made to the court by Mr Steven Power.

Firstly, I would like to clarify that Steven Power is not a friend of the Clarke family. He is best friend of Michael Clarke. He is just a person that I know of. **HE IS a very close and valued and trusted friend of Mother and me for near on 40 years something that where the trust is concerned I and your mother would TRUST in him more than YOU! As he has assisted many times in many ways without money.**

Steven Power states that he is the builder in charge of maintaining my mothers property in Blackpool. I would like to know why this man even has the keys to the property. **NOT that it's your business, it's a trust issue again simple.com where we prefer a professional not 'Bob' or 'Kev' the builder!**

He further states that he has invested money to carry out repair work to bring the property up to scratch for it to be marketed, Steven Power, being the best friend of Michael Clarke, knows full well that the property can not be sold as it is in the court of protection. **Steven Power also beside being an authenticated builder has perspective in that his intelligence allows him the extravagance of judging the truth & is aware of the LIVING WILL and its terms invoked an EXECUTOR whom is the highest office of the land over and above the Court of 'Protection's biased Judge Jackson and therefore has ultimate authority invested in him by the EXECUTOR of said LIVING WILL.**

Steven Power also states that he has had numerous viewings of mothers house, knowing that the property can not be sold. **Steven Power knows the property belongs to ANN CLARKE s estate and that Ann's living will executor has authorised such action lawfully.** I have tried to find the property advertised but to no avail. Steven Power is a well known property developer himself. I can categorically state that I have never had any conversation with Steven Power regarding the sale of my mothers Blackpool home. **And nor do you need to, as you have no lawful say, nor do you have ANY qualifications to even make a preposterous fraudulent claim to be a 'Bob' the BUILDER. More LIES.**

He further goes on to say that the property would be a financial liability if my mother was to return home to the U.K, then contradicts himself by saying that my mother is not going to return home. **Steven Power knows the reality, that under the organised criminal racketeering court, it is unsafe for Ann to return to the UK as a prisoner of it, by the removal of her passport for NO god damn reason other than to facilitate coercion of a purge of contempt & more fraud forced upon her by the likes of you and you being an accessory to such fraud by that corrupt court of 'protection'.**

By trade???? **PROVE IT**, I am a builder???? **PROVE IT** who has built up a good reputation over many years and have over three hundred customers. Many of my customers have multiple properties which I maintain. I am intrigued to see for myself the fifteen thousand pounds worth of damage to my mother's property, so that I can assess the damage for myself and put things into perspective. **DIYer's do not put things into perspective, if you had any perspective what so ever, you would be able to put fraud upon your own mother, into such perspective by this court of 'protection' that clearly by your previous statements you do not have the mental ability to digest the complex frauds and likewise I know for a fact you do not have in real terms any BUILDER qualifications that also is a fraud, that I or your mother would never trust YOU to lay one finger on her property!**

In my opinion, this property is being deliberately run down and under valued for somebody else's financial gain. **YES, it has deliberately been run down by the court of 'protections' actions of police corporate policy enforcers aggression and your association to them, being an accessory to fraud, theft mal administration and persecution of your own flesh & blood, brother & mother. Perhaps beside you needing to get things into 'perspective', whilst doing so, buy yourself a dictionary! It is not building work you need to study, nor do we want you practising it on us, it's the English language that you really need to get your teeth into and leave the building work to PROFESSIONAL BUILDERS.**

Respectfully ?? **If you had any respect at all you would not impersonate by fraud a BUILDER!**

Kevin Clarke

---

*Executor I, man mike@rake.net say as follows*

---

1. For this level of thoughtlessness to even be considered, placing what they are pitching themselves as i.e. **'pillars' of the business community** in charge of mums property is a huge insult for it to even be seen here in writing let alone be contemplated.
2. God could only begin to guess what kind of tax evading businesses might be conducted there to mention but a few; Micro pig breeding, Dog breeding, Lodgers/Tenants, Prostitution or foster care? Bob the DIY'er builder services by 'Kev' Blackpool incorporated.
3. Based on past experience where they have failed to pay up to mum outstanding monies owed how on earth can mum be expected to even think she may get some income from them??
4. According to our **professional builder** Steven whom is likely to pitch in I am told, with the reality of attempting to place a tenant in **'hopefully' according to them** carry's significant risks and or injection of funds for **professional services** for the property to acquire the relevant needed certificates for tenancing ie GAS and Electric etc let alone to improve overall condition.
5. These matters have already been considered by professional assessment and do not need further invasion of our privacy where personal private data is stored from what can only be termed as nosy unprofessional profit seeking relatives assessing what they can get out of it.
6. This I might add all in the backdrop of mum, an older lady's continual suffering at the hands of the distinct lack of consideration for her **immediate care needs** and best interests that we have been screaming about now for just under 1 full year going back to June 2015!!
7. The consideration being placed upon the table is by a prompt from a court public officer Jackson, whom has been committing TREASON who on biased technicalities has no jurisdiction and should by the guidance and principles issued on public life, STEP DOWN on a recusal.
8. The matter before the court that should have been addressed long ago under its Parens Patriae duty ie 'state protection' was and still is, one off LOSS and HARM to the estate of ANN CLARKE failing to protect her estate that would in turn lead to her repatriation and the use of her beloved home in Blackpool, but clearly by Jackson intended removal of her passport and the coercion of her 20 year carer, son, heir & executor into an apology/purge of so called 'contempt' of court, for a written affidavit that was notarised to be the truth, **sadly it is unsafe to live in the UK.**
9. In a letter to No 10 David Con-moron aptly now named **the Crime Minister** and his cohort aptly named Justice Minister, **Taking the Michael, Gove** the Foreign Office and MP's / Lords we set out what is getting clearer by the day linked here <http://www.opg.me/18042016.pdf> .
10. That said the matter before us is a simple one and that ANN CLARKE s remaining devalued estate property, needs to be sold for **immediate care needs** and in point of fact **IS SOLD** where contracts are in the process of exchange currently.
11. Being under the terms of the previously stated said LIVING WILL that has been invoked by this courts actions, especially Jackson, the executor claims position & hereby requires by demand;
12. **A Grant of Probate or Letters of Administration under the terms of the said living will.** <http://www.opg.me/will20082012.pdf>
13. In order to complete the sale of the property and then to find another property in Spain where Ann can finally live in peace, comfort and security for which the funds were intended.
14. For reference purposes it might be helpful to re visit some points along the way in this sad sorry state of affairs and this being one, where Jackson prompts the current application in his

2. A written application has now been made by Mr Michael Clarke on 3 September 2015 to vary the order of 9 October 2012 so as to allow the sale of Mrs Clarke's Blackpool property. There has also been a request by Ms Angela Wilde and Mr Kevin Clarke for access to the property in order to inspect and maintain it, **but no application has been issued, despite time being allowed.** The application and request are both opposed.

order of the 22.02.2016 section 2

under the rules of **full disclosure** I require the computerised court print out for this particular application showing the fee payment receipt that I have asked for from Kevin & Angela?

15. In the same order section 8 Jackson states that financial problems presenting care issues



8. When I gave judgment on 9 October 2012, it was against a background where Mr Michael Clarke wanted the home to be kept for his mother's occupation, while the other siblings wanted it to be sold to provide for her income needs. **In deciding that the property should be kept for Mrs Clarke to live in, I said (at paragraph 37) that an application could be made for it to be sold if her way of life was deteriorating unacceptably as a result of inadequate income.**

16. Angela Wild & Kevin Clarke made a statement that was shown in the judgement of the 31.7.2012-paragraph

26. Mrs Wilde and Kevin Clarke believe that the property should be sold and the money spent on Mrs Clarke's care and wellbeing during her lifetime, rather than being tied up for Michael Clarke's ultimate benefit.

<http://opg.me/Clarkejudgment31.7.12.pdf>

17. Judgement 09.10.2012 paragraph

35. From a purely financial perspective, the case for a sale of the property is unanswerable. However, the property is not simply an asset but a home for Mrs Clarke and for her carer (Mr Michael Clarke) for at least part of the time. If it is sold, both will lose their home. In my view, this outcome would only be justified from Mrs Clarke's point of view if it was apparent that her daily needs were not in fact being met.

18. Within mum's clinical doctors reports they clearly show her capacity to live **where and with whom she wishes**; Doctor **Schelewa** reported and confirmed such with her experience of **Clinical Neuropsychology combined with Expressive Dysphasia. Still having capacity!**

19. The states own doctor, in fact, **Jacksons choice personally**, a Dr Waite, where he states it would **not be in Ann's best interests to be separated from her son Mike** and Ann has that ability, She is free from delusions which might affect her judgement and can express her wishes clearly. She is clear that she wishes to bequeath the bungalow to Michael, she understands that by doing so she will not leave anything to her son Kevin, her daughter Angela or her grandchildren. **I believe that she would have capacity to make a will for this purpose.**

20. Jacksons own Judgements are clearly showing distinct signs of shear contradiction indicating there are possible outside interferences with this case causing a sincere rise of belief that with other points raised **BIAS is very visible pointing towards his recusal.**

21. NOT JUST RECUSAL but it is my confirmed belief that the only route for this case is for a jury decision because the current indications are that not only is the state attempting the **imprisonment of the carer son** it is also making **Ann a prisoner of the United Kingdom.** **Clearly breaching Ann's human rights and Mike's amongst other rights.**

**Sample letter** to the United Kingdom's Corporation state Doctor in May 2012 **4 years ago**

Dear Dr Waite

Thank you for your response of which I find very interesting.

Starting with the last line of your response I would like to say yes I did do some research on you, albeit brief and no I did not find facts relating to your workings within or on, the guidelines of Mental Capacity Assessment produced by the British Medical Association and the Law Society.

Having provided us with such information does help to assist matters.

Hither to the above I am sure you are also very aware then of the Mental Capacity Act in particular **section 5** and the protection of carers from any legal liabilities ie civil actions. Assuming you are, then I unfortunately will have to go on. Are you aware that PANNONE s have pressed ahead with unlawful hearings against me the **carer** on harassment grounds when all I have been doing is trying to protect my mother from what we see as a potential judicial dictatorship incarcerating their victims to effective slavery by dictating what they should do against all Mental Capacity Act rules and removing my mother's clear ability to make her own mind up.

My mother clearly has a mind of her own, and to remove her rights is inhumane.

My mother, I believe, to have suffered serious injustice and as her carer the effect of that has transferred to me. This then without question has been violated where section 5 is concerned and thus the judicial juggernaut of corrupt processes continue to plough, through both my mother's life and mine, having given up 16 years of my life to take care of her.

The situation has turned into something nothing short of diabolical. I used to believe in the British Justice system but not anymore. Our family situation has been trashed by it all, a once loving close family has been decimated by the OPG and CoP processes.

It is for the above reasons and many many more, too many to mention that we feel **in danger** of both mine and my mother's civil liberties being removed and therefore not only have we wrote to Civil Liberties and Human Rights in Strasburg that we also feel much safer living in **EXILE**.

Until this matter is brought before some real proper Justice we see no other option but to continue in Exile. Our website suspension for the current moment absolutely no reason given by the hosting company Network Solutions, leads us to further believe corrupt judicial processes at work behind the scenes in order to blank out what evidence is clearly on display for people like yourselves to study and see the reality of HUGH JONES & PANNONE, OPG & CoP actions are causing, that are damaging our lives beyond recognition.

This is an extremely brief insight. As such we believe perhaps you might consider hopping onto a flight to Malaga Airport where upon we will collect you in order to conduct your assessment. Some flights can be purchased for less than a train ticket to Blackpool and also the time taken can equally sometimes be a faster connection. We think, if you are prepared to do this then this assessment might be equally agreeable, to bring matters to a further conclusion. I might wish to add though that the lack of an agreed independent specialist still weighs heavy on our minds, this of course backed by corrupt scandal of SPECIAL VISITOR s recently shown on channel four television whom, just incidentally, have expressed an interest in completing a program on us, with others and our plight!

Mike & Ann Clarke

Due to the stress and ill health caused we live in exile:-Please reply ideally by email to:-[mike@rake.net](mailto:mike@rake.net)

### **GENERAL OBSERVATION**

Nowhere in Judge Jackson previous judgements does he make reference to Ann Clarke losses ever. He very carefully skips over these issue's and neither does he mention the commercial lien statement affidavit of notarised truth that is the stumbling block and the truth, that he dare not speak its name, this alone demonstrates his clear bias and that he will as per the whole proceedings skip over the realities of this courts unlawful actions, where loss harm and injury have been inflicted upon us.

Proceedings to are also generally conducted without oral hearings not allowing such oral input to go onto records alongside a denial of any appeal as in his Judgement of 22.2.2016, **why can it not be appealed??**

**It is by fraud a legally organised criminal probate racketeering mafia!**

[mike@rake.net](mailto:mike@rake.net)

[end]