

from the house of

mike@rake.net

www.opg.me

HM Courts & Tribunals
Service Customer
Investigations Team
Post Point 6.02
6th Floor 102 Petty France
London SW1H 9AJ



FAO Senior Investigator

richard: REDGRAVE Cc'd to **susan** 10/11/2017 follow up letter to yours dated yesterday.

NOTICE to Agent is NOTICE to Principal

NOTICE to Principal is NOTICE to Agent

case reference numbers Manchester 2MA90015 - 2MA91155 & COURT OF 'PROTECTION' 10370284

STATEMENT OF TRUTH under the **Motu Proprio Exodous 20 :16** By: Sovereign ©Mike: of the family: Clarke, Authorised Agent, Representative & Principal secured party creditor for MICHAEL R CLARKE™ & as same status as my **living mother Ann's** executor by the invoking of the **living will** dated **20/8/2012**

Dear Dick,

Having read your letter not once but 3 times I just wish to enlighten you to the word used in my immediate reply by email to you yesterday, that being the word, **'condoned'**... **meaning...**

*deliberately ignore, not take into consideration, disregard, take no notice of, take no account of, accept, allow, make allowances for, let pass, turn a blind eye to, overlook, forget, wink at, blink at, connive at; forgive, pardon, excuse, let someone off with, let go, sink, bury;
let bygones be bygones; informallet something ride "we cannot condone such dreadful behaviour"*

That said, I now refer you to what your letter **is condoning** by fraud & by it's implied omissions,

1. A judge judging in his own cause ie JUDGE PELLING judging on a committal hearing about the lien that he wanted removing is about court of protection OPG theft mal admin and FRAUD.
Are you/the court condoning judges, judging in their own cause?? YES or NO??
2. Where the Court of Protection and the Office of the Public Guardian claim to protect and guard a patients money so as to stop financial losses why did they not open a euro account in the name of **ann** to place her funds in euros upon her emigration in March 2008????
Are you condoning an agent of the court's mistake by mal administration to provide exchange rate losses to ann's estate exceeding £100,000 Yes or No
3. When officers and agents of the court are using her Majesties premises ie a court ... a ministry of justice court ie HMCTS business to commit a fraud by non payment of fees!!
Are you condoning such fee-less frauds Yes or No
4. When a patient informs the court of her intention to emigrate and the agent says OK I cannot stop you, why was that patient lead on a merry goose chase of selecting villas in Spain to exchange her UK property for a Spanish villa and that the torture of deliberate delays and obvious prevention, was never conveyed before leaving the UK creating severe upset and mamouth pressures psychologically upon the patient leading to illness
Is the court condoning torture of patients by malfeasance in public office Yes or No
5. When a patient comes under the Guardian Office are they a worldwide prisoner of the UK state and will not be told such but quite the opposite be told they are free to go where they please...
Is the court condoning 'UK citizenship prison' for guardianship patients Yes or No

6. When a living will is presented under the 1837 wills act and its associated contrary to part 24 insertion referring to the living being's appointed executor will step in by any further implication on capacity why is this court ignoring the wills act?
Is the court condoning by fraud ignorance to a presented will and executor?? Yes or No
7. A judge ignoring the will and operating outside his jurisdiction making orders that are void when he has no jurisdiction, to defraud by concealment of documents and by concealment of financial charges to ann's property
Is the court condoning definitive fraud upon the patients property CONCEALED Yes or No
8. When a notarised instrument by statement with financial penalty is served upon a party can the court define specific law that is against such an action and as such...
Can the court produce any law that prevents a living being issuing such? Yes or No
9. Yet another issue that your court have more seriously not responded to is the crime of PERSONAGE & BARRATRY with the use of DOG LATIN DECEPTION & LEGALESE
Is the court condoning the use of the above to defraud man? Yes or No??

Dick, I do have some more questions to put to you but these issue's by your staff's omissions have not been answered and obviously there some new issues there too, that by staff omissions are in fact being condoned, please correct me by placing a **YES or a NO** to the 9 questions above and please provide your explanation to each answer.

I am requiring concise and precise answers to the 9 questions which relate to your statement of "Whilst I realise you are concerned that fraudulent activity may have taken place in your case, I have found no evidence of this and am satisfied that my team have investigated your complaints appropriately"

Dick, your truthful response or lack of is going to determine whether I add you to the interlocutory process upon the Commercial lien for a fee the same as susan, your failure to respond will be an admission by aquiescence to the points raised, meaning you have no grounds to attempt to deflect or condone the frauds that the ministry of justice is accused of and as such, you have **30 days**.

Failure to rebut the the 9 questions providing full and concise answers will attract you being interlocked to the commercial lien for an additional fee of £10,000,000 ten million pounds.

This notice will be copied to **LANCASHIRE POLICE** ref no. U/34514/07/FA

This notice will be copied to the **current, POLICE STANDARDS investigation** ref no 2017/094148

This notice will be copied to **ACTION FRAUD in LONDON** ref no NFRC170301793603

This notice will be copied to **NATIONAL FRAUD INVESTIGATION BUREAU** - ref Net 6563898002702

This notice will be copied to **IPCC** number is 2017/094148

This notice will be copied for what it's worth to our **MP GORDON MARSDEN**.

This notice will be filed against you in a **GRAND JURY COURT** failing, HMCTS providing any remedy.

This notice will be attached to the commercial lien

It is without any admission of any liability whatsoever, & with all my natural **Indefeasible Rights reserved** & it is without **ANY ill will, frivolity or vexation**

[our address is 31 Cherry Tree Rd Blackpool FY4 4NS] **but..**

due to exile and thus protection as executor to my mother email only!!

mike@rake.net

without prejudice UCC 1-308
without recourse

24.09.2012
mike: clarke
(seal)
sentient living man

by:  EXECUTOR OFFICE mike@rake.net
michael-raymond: clarke