

<meta http-equiv="refresh" content="0; URL=/?_fb_noscript=1" /> <meta http-equiv="X-Frame-Options" content="DENY" />

FRAUDULENT USE OF EU TAXPAYERS' MONEY - AUDIT

15 December 2013 at 13:29

Dear Sirs

The British public would like you to be aware of the fraudulent manner in which the British civil servants are operating, and to take action. Civil servants are denying Freedom of Information requests to the public, but what is worse is that Ministers and/or their staff simply delete emails from members of the public without reading them.

On several occasions, I have sent information to Francis Maude, Cabinet Minister, about this problem as he purports to be conducting civil service reform. I am far from being the only member of the public to experience it blocking from almost all ministries. The two examples I give today are just two of many from right across all government departments, and also from local governments. My purpose, when writing to Mr. Maude, was to advise him that this is happening in all public departments, as I innocently presumed that he did not know that parliamentary correspondence clerks often simply delete emails without reading them, and many of them contain intelligence to help them do their jobs.

His own private secretary/parliamentary assistant deleted at least some of them without reading them, along with other emails, and yet the very subject of the emails was about civil servants deleting emails! She provided the proof of it below.

As you will see from my email further down the page, civil servants in the UK are not providing service and are, therefore, every bit of a problem as those Palestinian civil servants who were audited by the EU.

<http://www.vancouversun.com/news/Civil+servants+paid+stay+home+audit/9277305/story.html>

Members of the public are denied service, even by select committee members of parliament, who also either delete their emails without reading them, and ALWAYS ignore the information provided.

Here are some comments from **other people** regarding the matter. I have removed their identifiers:

From: martin brighton <brightonmb@gmail.com>Date: 12 December 2013 21:30:35 GMTTo: david pidcock <pidcockdavid8@gmail.com>Subject: Re: SOMEONE IS MAKING A FOOL OF FRANCIS MAUDE

Dear David,

The blocking of emails to ministers by civil servants had been going on for years. The practice

has several advantages:

a) The civil servants are controlling the flow of information, so control the game

*b) The Minister is provided with the excuse of 'plausible deniability' when bad or sabotaged policies inevitably go t***-up*

c) The Minister is then 'owned' by the civil servant

d) The civil servant can thus make or break any minister, who would be cut loose and blamed for not knowing what is going on

e) When the civil servant is controlled by a third party to which there is primacy of loyalty, that third party effectively becomes the de facto government - this is the crucial point.

There is such a third party that is riddled throughout the civil service, from Cabinet Office, down through every tier of governance, to local authorities and even street level.

Kerslake (Sir Bob) is inextricably entangled with that organisation, and publicly supports it.

Despite Eric Pickles saying 'No more spending by local authorities', they show him nothing but contempt.

Because Francis Maude and the Prime Minister support this insurrectionist and corrupt organisation, both Maude and Cameron are showing sheer and Utter contempt for Pickles, whilst the organisation (COMMON PURPOSE) shows contempt for them all.

In Sheffield, from 1997 to 2005, the Chief Executive Officer presided over multi-million pound fraud and corruption, whilst pandering to political whims, thus assuring promotion and teflon coating. Of course, even according to government's own records, things got worse, despite the hundreds of millions of money poured in. All the while, every project turned out to be an abysmal failure whilst the crocodile tears from the top whinged about the intransigence of public servants to culture change - exactly the same message we hear today from the Cabinet Civil Servant. And who was that CEO ? None other than Sheffield's former Chief Executive Sir Robert (Bob)

Kerslake (AKA KERSNAKE)

an extremely Uncivil Serpent! And what is this organisation ?

Yes, you guessed it -its Common Purpose

Of course, in terms that would embarrass Orwellian Newspeak, each failure is a sugar-coated triumph.

Of course there will be an Inquiry, but:

The Inquiry will not take place unless and until the outcome is already assured in keeping with the pre-set agenda.

The civil servants will control all the input to the Inquiry.

In Sheffield, the council's tactic to unwanted truths is to simply put an electronic block on the target's emails, then apply the policies of Deny and Lie, coupled with Control or Destroy.

Just like the Cabinet Office today.

Any continuity ?

Meanwhile, via the Cabinet Office civil servants, they refuse to be open, honest and transparent about Common Purpose membership within government, which is directly contrary to Civil Service rules.

Given the real agenda that is being played out by the back-door/back-room boys, Kerslake

(KerSnake) is right to say that the Whitehall machine is performing remarkably well - but only if you consider that the Whitehall agenda does not reflect the manifesto of any elected government, but that of the clandestine, insurrectionist, corrupt, kerslake-supported organisation, Common Purpose.

Happy times ahead,

Dear Peter and friends who have suffered likewise from Secret Court abuses,

Thank you for making this point about the inhumane Italian case. Everyone that I have spoken to is shocked but not surprised by the savagery demonstrated by lead Essex Social services/ health partnership professionals. We have been observing and experiencing their brutal ways for years. I'm advised by the local clergy that they have received reports of several other horror services about how badly the social services and NHS health partnerships have behaved over the years. The bad behaviour is usually driven by the individual's search for promotion or money and in the case of the COP (note: COURT OF PROTECTION) and it's associates, power and money.

In our own case we had evidence of when a social services introduced/corrupt solicitor (Ms J. Pleass) instructed a psychiatrist (Dr. S Mann) to sign a COP form in order to obtain control and our family's money. It was done in a carefully timed way, so as to maximise control by social services and the solicitor who were working together with COP et al assistance. (The second corrupt solicitor working alongside the first corrupt solicitor was brother & sister owned Giles Wilson- calling themselves the Official Receiver and then Official Solicitor, in order to maximise their theft from our family under the guise of legitimate decisions carried out by a Secret Court, to whom we were disallowed an appeal)

We have seen that the people who did wrong were promoted up and up the structures as a consequence of their taking risks with us and with other people's lives too. They have been upsetting whole families and the rest of the course of their lives in a routine way for decades. The model of mental terrorism that Essex employs is echoed throughout the UK in those counties that like to follow Essex's model.

The Essex Deputy Social Services officer is also responsible as a leading National UK figure for the General Society for Social Workers organisation.

Unusually, the Non Essex MP John Hemmings seems to have taken the case up. He is one of the few that tries to do the right thing on this issue. There has been silence from Eric Pickles who is the Essex MP who may have been responsible for looking after this particular issue involving the Italian lady and her unborn child.

COP matters seem to be readily sidestepped by many MPs . These types of MP seem determined not to find answers when requests or concerns are raised about COP matters. The SoS minister Lamb was giving evidence in the House of Lords on 3rd Dec 2013 in front of a committee re. the

COP, but I felt that many twists were interwoven into the evidence given. The witnesses were often not answering the question. They often seemed incapable of listening to what was being said to them. They sat side by side as they masked the seriousness of what has continued to take place under the Coalition, and Labour before that.

Real evidence shows how poorly the COP has acted. But the real evidence is frequently buried. And even when it is briefly aired, it is soon forgotten by those politicians who choose to ignore it. Few in politics seem concerned about how badly the COP et al continues to perform. Even fewer have admitted that this is organised criminal activity carried out by our own UK secret courts and the personnel who attend.

Lack of transparency pervades more and more of our so called public affairs. Meanwhile, the Secret courts are the root of much on going corruption. And the public are extremely worn down and upset by the lack of response shown when they raise this fact as evidence, again and again. We are tired of the fact that when evidence is called for, and we respond, having only just head about the call, we are suddenly stopped short. The receiving of evidence is suddenly curtailed. And the politicians are protected once more by the civil servants, from feeling the full scale wrath of the public. The public who object to secret courts need to have their complaints fully heard.

Various groups have experienced such poor management and performance by the COP and it's associates who work together most effectively to destroy so many lives.

The Secret court corruption is on such a widescale that we feel that the evidence committee should sit again and receive a further 2 reports from the ministers following the many questions that they failed to answer. And there should be at least two more sessions of evidence taking to hear from the focus group leaders who have experienced the non performance of the COP et al, often at first hand. There should be no attempt to put only the polished representatives before the House. The House of Lords Committee deserves to hear direct from the man & woman on the street who has suffered as a consequence of the COP et al's masked inhumanity and poor performance.

Regards,

NOTE: The House of Lords Parliamentary Committee on the Mental Capacity Act refused to hear evidence of crime and corruption involving mentally incapacitated or vulnerable persons on the basis that people were named. So the evidence has simply been thrown into the garbage by the very committee that should be protecting these people. Mike Clark and several others received a letter from the Chairman of the Committee, Lord Hardie, after submitting evidence that should be investigated, and having been advised that MPs, police, and ministers have refused to either investigate and/or respond to allegations of very serious fraud taking place within the courts and/or facilitated by the Official Solicitor's office.

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/mental-capacity-act-2005/>

This denial of service is mass fraud – they are being paid public monies to do a job that they are

simply not doing. Furthermore, these Lords are concealing allegations of criminality instead of investigating them, and they will receive an EU PENSION at the end of their working life.

True to form, they all turn a blind eye when confronted with allegations of official corruption. There is not one person in the UK, including the Prime Minister, willing to address these allegations.

Some of the victims of serious white collar crimes have presented their cases, on several occasions, to Members of the House of Commons, and Members of the House of Lords. Some are recorded on video. There has been no offer of help for them, and the crimes are uninvestigated because British police refuse to record or investigate the allegations, and nobody in government cares.

Will you please be kind enough to read on. At the end of this page, you will see the evidence of Libby Dewdney-Herbert, Mr. Maude's personal assistant, deleting emails without reading them. It shows a total disdain for the public.

As a result, I wrote the email highlighted in green, using information in the public domain, which highlights the fraudulent use of EU monies by British public servants, ministers and MPs. They are not doing the job that the taxpayers expect them to do, especially when they don't even read correspondence from concerned citizens about the corruption of both parliament and police.

The items highlighted in yellow show how public servants are deleting emails addressed to ministers **without reading them**. As you will see, the new National Crime Agency is also deleting emails containing criminal intelligence. It is no wonder that the UK is unable to prosecute criminals operating at a high level of serious organised crime. Evidence may be lost, due to lazy civil servants who simply don't want to have to deal with correspondence. You will see from some of the newspaper reports that they now do not deal with emails on Thursdays, and many take Fridays off. Many work from home, where there is no supervision.

These are ONLY TWO examples.

Britain is a threat to world security, and something needs to be done about both its abysmally corrupt policing, and its abysmal public servants and parliamentarians who allow them to block information, and who do nothing at all to investigate allegations of serious corruption and cover ups involving criminals with law degrees, judges, lawyers and police.

This may also be of interest to you:

<http://www.ukcolumn.org/blogs/behavioural-change-common-purpose>

[Like](#)
[CommentShare](#)

2 Shares

- You and 2 others like this.



-



Yvonne Stewart-Taylor <https://www.facebook.com/.../response-to.../637761266286613>

RESPONSE TO SOMEONE IS MAKING A FOOL OF FRANCIS MAUDE

“Decision FS50435121 The Cabinet Office carefully interpret a request about cont...acts with Common Purpose so that information they have already disclosed to another applicant is not disclosed. They refSee more

by: Yvonne Stewart-Taylor

about an hour ago · Like



-



Mike Clarke IT IS TIME to start to name them with dates of birth and photos of their properties with addresses as we are doing and to shame them publicly to publish it all..
www.opg.me