

From: mike:clarke www.opg.me <mike@rake.net>
Sent: 01 March 2018 16:10
To: 'david@davidgauke.com'
Cc: 'Susan.Acland-Hood@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'; 'mayt@parliament.uk';
'alan.eccles@publicguardian.gsi.gov.uk'; 'len lawrence'; 'mandy dunn';
'Freedom Talk Radio'
Subject: The STATE of UK JUSTICE - causing TORTS upon the living

The STATE of UK JUSTICE 1st March 2018

FAO the secretary of STATE for JUSTICE

David: MICHAEL GAUKE

Cc'd to PM T MAY & CEO MOJ **Susan:** ACLAND HOOD ANDREWS

RECORDED DELIVERY

Dear David

As **man, Mike:** of the clan CLARKE I write you as the principal secured party creditor in due course and **living will** executor over MICHAEL RAYMOND CLARKE & ANN CLARKE whom are **no longer lost at sea** and have not been so since 2012.

The corporation in which your employed, has committed crimes against us. I hereby file upon you a brief background that is in the public domain.

It all started a long time ago, over 20 years to be exact. Mum suffered an accident in 1995. In 1998 the acting Solicitors pursuing damages were assessing my mothers needs over her life expectancy laid down at 83.

The claim was for 1.7 million in damages but over the course of the next 2 years divisions within the family would cause differences that would affect the claim. In short in 2001 the claim was settled by compromise at £775,000.

For 6 years prior to the award arriving I alone had looked after my mum whom was wheelchair bound and doubly incontinent. The award arrived and solicitors deemed mum was incapacitated to manage such large funds and me and mum disagreed.

Specialists were drafted in whom derived mum had capacity but the court doctor disagreed and so the Judge opted to keep control of her money using a solicitor to manage it. The major concern from family was the charges.

The solicitor whom got the job expressed in writing that his charges will not be infringing upon the capitol designated for mum's care using the words that, the family can "rest assured". The Judge Denzil Anton Lush also in writing the judgement stated that awards for fees do not simply fall on a patient's funds and in fact it is unlikely that charges would exceed what was estimated as laid down of £2000 per year.

The 1st year without any knowledge conveyed to mum or me his charges were £26,377 The second year without any knowledge again conveyed, his charges were £14,000 So, for the 1st 2 years we were told the estimated charges to be no more than £4,000 were in fact x 10 £40,377 This was discovered in the 3rd year. Whilst the deputy stole £500 per week he only gave mum n me £200 to live on. This is where sparks began to fly, as you can imagine.

We now are approaching nearly 2004 We came to the conclusion this court was very sinister indeed and it was not as was advertised. Various run ins with this regime occurred and in 2008, so disillusioned with the UK we decided to emigrate to Spain in March 2008. No issues came from any party and we were free to go.

Having found a rental property in Spain demands were made to release mums remaining cash estate to her new country of residence which was refused. Not only did the court of protection for 'England and Wales' keep mums cash in their coffers, they failed to open a euro account for the country of residence with the balance. Protestations of many were made over 5 years to 2013 because on entering Spain the exchange rate was £1 to 1.5 euro but was diminishing to eventual parity of £1 to 1 euro over the years and so the allowances each month were becoming less and less due to

exchange rate losses. We calculated over 5 years that the continued mal administration of mum's cash estate had diminished by exchange rate losses alone of over £100,000. The question was raised with our MP, that such mal administration losses need compensation to the client by the OPG who would not address the issue alongside the overcharges we had made countless complaints about & over 10 years the charges should have been £20,000 but were in fact £150,000. Basically, without a full assessment, in short, the OPG had a liability to their client in our opinion of a loss exceeding a quarter of a million pounds that as mum's sole carer could clearly see something was seriously wrong.

Though mum moved abroad 2008 and all her furniture went with her, the court prevented an exchange of her property to a Spanish villa not once, but twice, when we finally reduced the price to sell it the judge stopped it.

Numerous campaigns were addressed with nothing but contempt, so eventually in 2011 I went to see the British constitution group and met with **Roger Hayes and Guy Taylor** whom advised a commercial lien be served on the solicitors which was completed in December 2011 but as 2012 came in these solicitors that failed to respond to lien, were told that research on their properties was to follow in order to attach the debt to their houses. That's when the shit hit the fan, the solicitors shot to court with a case upon me for harassment that I denied consent to as I deemed it too BAR bias for a fair trial, jury demanded. They continued in my absence and finally in 2013 January they held a committal to prison hearing using their own judge, to judge in their own cause a nominated court of protection judge to issue a prison sentence upon me that fortunately me n mum had fled the country with the day before. Roger Hayes and GUY Taylor attempted to represent me in court and they served several documents on to the judge before he issued his void order.

5 Years later we still are fighting to have the order VOIDED meeting brick walls.

In a nut shell the court committed theft, fraud, mal administration upon mum topping it off with an act of treason, to imprison the whistleblower son/carers by judging in their own cause in an effort to protect the court of protection NOT the client whom has suffered not only £250,000 minimum losses but also her home too that has become judicially ringfenced in the UK where we can't live in it peaceably and neither can she sell it.

We are trapped abroad renting a flat that is inadequate where in order to pay for it, I need to go out to work several hours per day instead of providing the care needed for my 77 yr old disabled mum whilst her £250,000 house stands in the UK, languishing and the court continues to ignore all attempts to bring the perpetrators into a jury court of our own, denying all rights to due process. You could'nt make it up if you tried.

Take into account this is a very brief shortened down version of the real nightmare that fortunately has been documented in diary format upon our website www.opg.me over the years inclusive of recorded conversations etc.

The worst part that revealed itself this last year 2017 is that they are still placing heavy charges upon that property that have been hidden/concealed, for so called services that also have been hidden & concealed!!!

Mike, mike@rake.net and Ann www.opg.me 07763906847

Respectfully I seek your comments with some urgency.

Related links

<http://opg.me/will20082012.pdf> **a living will that has been invoked**

<http://opg.me/5pageoverview.pdf> an overview of the reality suffered

<http://opg.me/LIENcontract2018.pdf> THE LIABILITY CONTRACT served & perfected on CEO MOJ

<http://opg.me/22022018toPMTM.pdf> The pre cursor of interlocutory NOTICE on PM TM @ No10