

### Conditional Acceptance of a VOID committal order suspension - letter 28/9/2016

i man, executor of ann, mike state as follows; some background and info on website [www.opg.me](http://www.opg.me), obviously being the living soul with 1<sup>st</sup> hand knowledge of actual factual events and timelines;

1. It is my understanding of the current position that the court of protections jurisdiction of ann has been **'lost at sea'** on all fronts with regard to ann and her property by;
  - a. **Habitual residence in Spain** from 2008 that has been termed 'residence' when we have documented proof infact was an **emigration** where even ann's NIE spanish identity papers are dated from 1998 as proof, if anyone care's to check spanish records, when ann was proposing to purchase a villa in Tenerife that was at that time being constructed, this I might add is documented in the original court papers of the initial claim. Ann also had a certificate from the DWP on emigration to qualify in SPAIN by HUGH JONES
  - b. **THE living WILL**. On the 20.8.2012 ann made a living will **authorised** by Jackson and served upon Jackson before he ring fenced her house, in it, she made provision of a contrary to part 24 of the wills act 1837 that there shall be no further public authority interference of her affairs under article 8, where a claim of incapacity is cause a concern her living will executor will stand in her shoes & protect her and her estate.
  - c. **DIVINE CLAIM of RIGHT**. To existence in living form as **ann, court form 206** presented but, ignored by Jackson.
  - d. **NAME changed by DEED poll**. Deed poll name changes of a re-conveyance to the **land jurisdiction** of small caps not the LOST@ SEA DEAD JURISDICTION, fraud by personage and barratry.
2. **That said**, Jackson ignored ALL of the above, as he does most things, and further criticised the will, then he continued to meddle in the estate of ann. At the time we were not over concerned because we were living in the property of **ann's** wishes anyway, so we had no real major concern. Residence at that time 2012 resolved of a fashion.
3. **LOSSES**: We turned our attention to the losses of **ann's** estate that there has been a dereliction of duty on the courts part to;
  - a. Account in a detailed fashion for the **overcharges** that were quite blatant and on demanding accountability the deputy claimed he need not do so as he had the public guardian sign off the accounts plus client confidentiality were on his side. **Not in ann's best interests**
  - b. Accountability to the failure to release ann's funds to her country of emigration and or **the failure to protect** those funds **by using a euro account**. . . these losses exceeded £100,000 in exchange rate fee losses that the courts dereliction to duty just exuded FRAUD, racketeering and blatant injustice on the vulnerable. The so called excess payments to mc were because exchange dropped by 30%. 1£-£1 **Not in ann's best interests**
  - c. The terms of the **living** will indicate otherwise to the contrary as i could see fraud theft maladministration to losses exceeding £250,000 and thus my appointed office as executor being in law the highest office.
  - d. Complaining to the OPG the SRA the POLICE and the parliamentary health and service ombudsman just went around and around in a revolving doors fashion, wasting time and energy. **Not in ann's best interests**
  - e. A visit to the BRITISH CONSTITUTION group meeting in stoke where there were hundreds complaining of similar frauds and **uninvestigated complaints** we were advised to issue a notarial instrument that is in fact statement of events demanding redress and failure to provide that redress come financial penalty. **BINGO** the end of solicitors, the end of Barristers, and court corruption! etc **END of MASON control**.
4. **NOTARIAL INSTRUMENT** served: commercial lien upon the solicitor agent receiver deputy HUGH ADRIAN SCOTT JONES of Pannone LLP we waited for response but none came. Research upon Partners of Pannones properties began as we served default notice and warning of LAND registry searches to record & enforce the now debt, based on vicarious liability on all partners 50 off and their properties. Pannones LLP **shot to court at a speed not previously seen** to obtain an 'harrasment' injunction using **hearsay and proveable lies in an administrative star chamber** hearing convened by them **'without a fee paid'** without my attendance or my consent and thus demanding in writing to be treated under the principles of any hearing that it be FAIR unbiased and impartial & thus it was the complete opposite where in fact had lies been used in the notarial instrument, **perjury could have been sought**;
  - a. BIAS to the moving party
  - b. UNFAIR to me
  - c. PARTIAL to Pannone LLP
5. **THE CROWN**. It is my understanding that the Office of the Public Guardian and the Court of Protection and its agents ie solicitors are all agent properties of the crown, likewise are the courts themselves and are corporate for profit in nature thus fitted all the above a, b, and c, in nature and to resolve such concern a jury was **demande**d & this would be the answer to solve the crimes.
6. **ARTICLE 6** of the European Convention on Human Rights is a provision of the European Convention which protects the **right to a fair trial** and entitlement was **denied** & by a jury court refusal by **Sharp & Foskitt in writing**, so attending would be pointless as they rendered themselves as much use as a tennis court & judgements considered to be rendered **VOID Fraud Ab initio**.
7. **COMMITTAL HEARING** 2MA90015 Manchester 14/1/2013 conducted again without a jury and with hearsay **lies proveable** with one even more **criminal element** that being JUDGED by the Court of Protection itself, having of course a **serious vested interest in the outcome**. Example: i bite a McDonalds burger and its contaminated so I

take McDonalds to court and the judge is employed by McDonalds, does not sit very well alongside the principles of FAIR UNBIASED & IMPARTIALITY

8. **So lets move on... sentence now handed down**, into exile we flee for over 3 years, now having amassed all that corruption **you want me to apologise??** And of course no further on to resolving mums losses! **So we now ask;**
- Are the courts still operating under article 6? NO
  - Are the courts still FAIR unbiased and impartial? NO
  - Assuming you answer as one would expect emphatically YES, exactly why was they the opposite to me?
  - Is there some undercurrent authority that is pulling the strings of power? YES **Not in ann's best interests**
  - Is this undercurrent a secret society that stems all the way up through the crown to the Queen? YES
  - Does it have its own oath that supercedes all others? YES - **Not in ann's best interests**
  - Where conflict is concerned can the court instruct a jury or does the court just do as it pleases?
  - Is there any health and safety guidelines operating as with every other business to prevent TYRANNY?
  - Does the Bill of rights still stand?
  - Does the magna carta 1215 still have force?

This would seem to be a very lucrative business to be in that has no safety net and no health and safety rules to **protect the vulnerable from the predatory**, a perfect excuse to commit fraud big style!!! **Something WRONG!!**

9. **Juries** can change the laws or so it is my understanding, if a particular ACT is proving to be monetary generating billions of pounds and thus there is **every incentive to make sure the ACT cannot be changed or amended**, proving that money is the root worship of all evil that will pray without regard for the vulnerable. **Not in ann's best interests**
10. **Jackson** is no stranger to justice he fully understands this, and injustice, that he freely dish's out daily on a whim without a thought for P the patient or C the client, **where money is, the predatory guardians appear out of thin air.**
11. **PURGE of CONTEMPT:** The court allege i man was in contempt... **contempt of what?..** contempt of court? Well it was not a court it was a hearing unconsented to because of BIAS, unfairness and most certainly PARTIAL to PANNONE LLP a bias that was later proved on several fronts not least by the bias judge that sat as prima facia evidence that he committed perjury breaching his oath etc **WHO WAS IN CONTEMPT? Not in ann's best interests.**
12. **THE FACTS:**
- i man will not abide by what I consider to be VOID meaning Sharp's initial order 2MA90015
  - Foskitt's order too i man believe to be VOID and therefore no consent, not going to abide 2MA90015
  - AS for PELLINGS well that is just a joke a joke that he must now pay for.. every action has a re-action.
  - PELLINGS order is most definitely unsafe VOID, then there is no need to suspend the committal as it theoretically does not exist, **the court just needs to acknowledge the void order with prejudice and damages.**
  - THAT said you can hardly use PELLINGS order as a bargaining chip to return to the UK and coerce agreement with Foskitts order beforehand as that would be actually **underhand.**
  - Take the committal away and your left with PANNONE LLP re applying to convene a **real court** with a jury to get me into prison which will not happen because they will not be able to use the hearsay lies that they used in compliment with their own nominated court of protection masonic brethren buddy PELLING?
13. **CONCLUSION:** in summary
- You are now aware as before i man will not comply with Foskett's void order **Not in ann's best interests**
  - You are aware as before I demand a jury court to commit to me to prison, that hearsay lies cannot be used in a fair unbiased impartial court of record with jury.
  - You are aware, **very aware** that to be judged by judge alone in a hearing of hearsay lies that contains overall allegations about the BAR/CROWN is a distinct CONFLICT of INTEREST **that a jury can fix!**
  - It would seem on the face of it... **it's a no brainer!** EASY as toast to resolve... **so whats the problem??**
  - i man can only think of ONE problem, that's a SECRET, a secret society that is in fact **THE CROWN**
  - THE CROWN is MASONIC** and **their oath** presides over all others, **at all cost** even **the vulnerable!** CONFLICT that is **Not in ann's best interests nor anyone else's time to route out the enemy within.**
14. **CONDITIONS of ACCEPTANCE:**
- There is no point in a suspension of committal** unless Jackson is going to **suspend it eternally** because there aint going to be any apology for the masonic courts corruption from me and or agreement with Foskett's order, not that I need to be in agreement with Foskitt as that would put the cart before the horse.
  - Jackson must declare** the **committal hearing unsafe void quashed with prejudice and damages** to be given alongside a new jury court trial that will be fair under article 6, with jury, **without hearsay lies.**
  - Jurisdiction over ann and her property terminated** in favour of recognition of ann's living will and its unequivocal clear cut terms as it is now, because there aint no attraction and or safety for ann to set foot in the UK plc without it, which is why she wrote it and that too has been **abused by Jackson – 1837 wills act.**
  - Jackson to be crystal clear in any order Jackson draws up that will demonstrate safety to **ann and mike** in their new existance claimed, **as living souls** and **not chattel slaves of the masonic crowns corporate UK.**
  - 11<sup>th</sup> Oct hearing to be used by Jackson** in a demonstration, of a single flash of integrity and morality to attempt to bring the judiciary back from disrepute and show where it can address **ann's** long lost losses.  
**Executor – mike** [www.opposepredatoryguardians.com](http://www.opposepredatoryguardians.com) [www.victimsofabuseofpower.com](http://www.victimsofabuseofpower.com)