At War with the legal profession:


The people v the legal profession.  

Case 2MA90015

The evidence of corruption within the legal profession, i.e. the practitioners in law firms and judges in our courts is irrefutable, combined with the fact that the legal profession has systematically ridden rough-shod over our common law rights in pursuit of the perfect system of control... with them at the helm. Their manipulations have been with such stealth that few have noticed the ratchet of oppression that their machinations have brought... but many have become victims of the consequences.

It is delusional for the legal profession to think that they can continue to ignore the express will of the people, which is to deliver us justice in our courts... as more and more of us stand up to challenge the validity of their claimed authority. First they may mock us and ignore us and even incarcerate us... to impose their will upon us... but the denial of the rule-of-law in preference for the rule-of-force will have its consequences... that only a fool would ignore.

The legal profession seem to be under the illusion that our rights are somehow subject to their prerogative... given to us, or earned... and codified by way of laws written (by them). But their ‘given rights’ are not our rights, they are mere privileges that having been given can be taken away. Our rights are inalienable and in perpetuity.

In recent times the legal profession - encouraged by their own self-assumed importance -have given pronouncements by way of statutes to secure exclusive right to offer their services in our courts to the exclusion of everyone else... a sure way to protect their wages. Never has there been a better protection racket created... with all the appearance of legitimacy – with sponsorship of our own courts.

It is a widely held belief amongst the legal profession that statutes are supreme over common law... it is this above all else that draws a line in the sand and defines our fight - as in clear contrast we know that the supremacy lies with our common law... and it is on this issue that we are finally making our stand.

The foundation of our claim is our right to trial by jury... under any circumstance... we do not accept the statute arrangement whereby one man alone can decide on the incarceration of another... logic exposes the inherent injustice and the risk that this entails.

Magna Carta is now dismissed as an irrelevance... in the view of the legal profession, just another old document that stands in their way... but to us it is this that stands in the way of tyranny and for this we are prepared to stand our ground.

We have been here before... creeping tyranny delivered like poison in a rosy apple. But we have Magna Carta and we have our Declaration of Rights and we have our Common law... and we will defend them.
We sense the mood... we have seen the anger... we have a measure of our numbers... and we know the time is now right to push back at the oppression. We will push gently at first and then with as much vigour as it takes... we have the moral justification to press home to secure our success and use whatever lawful means are at our disposal to achieve our aims.

A criminal judiciary:
Nobody is able to distinguish good judges from bad... and we know that bad judges not only exist but are clearly in their ascendancy – the evidence visible through the perversity of their judgments. It is increasingly clear to even the most casual of observers, that a brown-envelope judiciary are strutting the corridors of justice... and living the life of Riley at the expense of innocent people.

The judicial racket of one member of the legal profession awarding astronomical costs to another member of their same profession is not only blatantly unreasonable, but is anathema to the very principles of justice... and cannot be accepted under any circumstance... even the most honest judge has a predisposition towards exalting their own profession and thus a tendency to award beyond the value of the service given.

The legal profession with their antennae tuned in to the self-aggrandisement programmes have never thought to tune in to the other stations... where a growing number of their victims proclaim their growing anger at the greed of the legal profession and their outright and systematic abuse... but also before now nobody has had an inkling of the numbers involved... not even the perpetrators – but as the reality dawns we find that there is a staggering number of people now laying claim to corruption in the courts and abuse by the legal profession... those numbers previously scattered and of no consequence are now merging into a formidable machine seeking remedy, but no longer merely justice... now they want revenge.

Remedy is offered to us by way of a complaints system that has been conceived on the assumption of irrefutable rectitude by the legal profession itself... it is as misguided a concept as the ‘honour amongst thieves’ often quoted by the criminal fraternity to provide an element of ‘feel good’ in compensation for just how grubby a profession theirs is ... when in reality they should hang their heads in same... it seems such a fitting comparison.

But not all judges are bad... and we are the first to shout this from the roof top... and praise this reality... but SO WHAT! We expect no less, and this does not help the victims of the criminal fraternity within... if just one crooked judge exists and he does not have ‘crooked judge’ stamped on his forehead... then we have an absolute right to assume that the one sitting on the bench at the time... is that one crooked judge. It can be no other way.

In defence of our common law thus... common law advocates claim the right to ‘do to judges’ (plus a premium) whatever they do to us... where our common laws has been denied. Thus if they fine us or imprison us where we have claimed common law jurisdiction as of right and this has been denied – we will invoke our own lawful process to counter.
People on the march:
All over the country people are coming together to air their grievance about the way we are being misgoverned and oppressed by a system that massively favours a small elite at the expense of the rest of us. Airing our grievances has been our only recourse in the absence of an effective judiciary... but the wind of change has sewn many seeds of rebellion ... and on some very fertile soil... and the harvest from these seeds of discontent will feed an army... and one with the word revenge planted in its collective brain.

Rebellion is not a natural bedfellow with the British way of doing things... we lobby whilst the French lob (off heads) – but we are quick learners. Will it come to violent rebellion... or will push and shove do the trick? The reader will probably be best placed to decide the answer to this question based on their own perceptions... is there an element of acceptance in the points being raised... or is the judicial arrogance too difficult to overcome?

Nobody ever imagined that 600 people, prompted by a single email would arrive from all over the country to arrest a judge... nobody knows what might have happened had restraint not been called for... and nobody knows what the future holds. But everybody knows that the discontent is rife... and anything might happen.

The moral justification for our actions.
Justice is the very foundation of a peaceful society of which we all benefit. Without justice the rule of the jungle takes hold and the weak become victims of the strong... and nobody is safe. If the criminality in the legal system is not addressed with vigour – at every opportunity, then we will all suffer the consequences. We have no option now – we must act.

The common law:
The people’s Common law in mandatory... it defends the freedoms of the individual, it protects life, property and the integrity of the human being to exist without hindrance and unfettered access to their rights.

The common law applies to all men equally – can be accessed by all and denied to none.

Common law is just law.

Common laws are based on fact as proven by evidence - not assumption

Common law is the supreme law... upheld by the people by way of jury of one’s peers.

Common laws span centuries immune to social change.

Common law has its foundation in common sense and reason.

There is no place in common law for hearsay.
The jury is the supreme court of the land.

The court process and verdicts are overseen by a judge. A judge makes no judgements on guilt or innocence; he/she offers only interpretation of the common law.

No man is above the law.

No one man can give judgment in common law... this is the exclusive prerogative of the jury.

Every man who claims the protection of common law must have it as of right.

A man who does not obey and uphold the law... is an outlaw.

It is a compulsion and a duty of all those who seek the protection of common law to uphold it.

Common law provides no shelter for outlaws.

**Statues: (AKA Acts of Parliament)**

Statutes are not a compulsion, they are subject to consent.

The purpose of statutes is to control – not provide justice.

Consent is a man’s prerogative... it is not a collective assumption.

Statutes are administered at hearings.

Statutes can be onerous, unreasonable and intrusive and do not apply to all men equally.

Statutes can be bias in favour of one section of society over another.

Statutes are often applied by assumption - in absence of evidence of any facts.

Statutes are often fickle, some built on the back of prejudices or envy.

Statutes are administered – not judged upon.

Administration is subject to the consent of all parties.

Administration of statutes is conducted by an administrator... not judged by a judge.

Where a statute conflicts with the provision of common law... the supremacy of common law will prevail.

If an administrator in a statute court – sitting on his own - incarcerates a man without the verdict of a jury... then that judge will have committed a common law offence of unlawful imprisonment... for which, if found guilty in a common law court, by a jury, he will himself be imprisoned and subject to penalty by way of punitive damages.
Statutes are the tools of trade for the legal profession... and as such are often given precedence over common law... contrary to the law.

Footnote

Published by: The British Constitution Group, who led a group of 600 peaceful protesters to arrest Judge Michael Peak in the Birkenhead County Court in February 2011 – for contempt of court and the crime of treason.

Since then the group has called for restraint... and a change of tactics. They now direct their energies on two fronts, one is to escalate their activities in the court system with a flood of private criminal prosecutions against judges, court staff and police officers who deny access to common law courts but also they have embarked on an initiative to set up their own common law courts and are now actively recruiting (from an enthusiastic populace) volunteers to serve as peace constables to enforce their own judgments in these courts.

The shoe is being put on the other foot... and at a time when the ‘official’ police force is as disgruntled as the rest of the population... the questions that judges must ask is... do I want to stick my neck out... metaphorically speaking... it might be in for the chop.

The British Constitution Group emphasise above all else that it is both a peaceful and a lawful organisation... but it cannot be responsible for random acts by individuals over whom it has no control whatsoever. They say that the remedy for the judiciary against retribution is for them to clean up their act... and to respect the people’s call to respect our common law rights.

Mike,

We have more dirt on Pannones – their Achilles heel is the court of protection – it is amazing – we put a request out for info and it is hard to keep up. Pleased to hear that you have got your hearing confirmed. I suspect that by the time it comes to the court case there will be a lot more information going around the circuit about the corruption in the legal profession... I think Judges will be treading very carefully.

Regards

xx