

Affidavit

Affiant: Mike of the family Clarke

In the matter of the application by Pannones HUGH JONES v CLARKE [Claim: 2MA90015]

In the 1st instant a bundle of papers was placed before me, 23/01/2012 addressed to the legal fiction MICHAEL CLARKE and a 3 day adjournment given is irresponsibly not enough time to prepare any sort of defence or action. I request the hearing be transferred to COMMON LAW COURT because, I Mike of the family Clarke being a flesh and blood man... a sentient being with free will and full cognizance of my responsibilities - do solemnly declare as follows:

It is my understanding that: -

Where there is an order – a bill becomes due. I will be billing PANNONES accordingly.
MICHAEL CLARKE (AKA Mr Michael Clarke) is a legal fiction.

My statement of truth.

I am not the legal fiction... MICHAEL CLARKE Or Mr Michael Clarke.

I am not a public servant.

I am a free man with free will.

I rebut all assumptions and presumptions.

I am in lawful rebellion as is my duty.

I recognise no court unless it is a **common law court**.

Administrative hearings have no authority without my consent.

I am not a slave subject to the diktat of other flesh and blood men or women, save where I have consented.

I do not consent to this tribunal/administrative process.

Re: Administrative hearings.

Halisbury on Administrative Law 2011 confirms that such 'law' is an arrangement between the executive and the judiciary. The law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is NO authority for administrative courts in this country and no Act can be passed to legitimise them.

The Bill of Rights – stemming from the Declaration of Rights made all star-chamber courts unlawful. All administrative courts are in essence star chambers... i.e. not subject to the normal rules of evidence - **not common law courts**. Administrative hearings are subject to the consent of all parties.

Re non-compliance of orders made by an Administrative hearing and the claim of contempt of court
Re: Contempt of Court.

Archbalds 2011 Chap 28 Sect 11 page 2/1145

In Att Gen v Newspaper Publishing Plc. And others (1988) Ch 333 (Civ Div)

Sir Donaldson M.R. said "That the mens rea in the law of contempt was something of a minefield. This was that it was wholly the creature of the common law...."

It is my sincerely held belief that all courts in the United Kingdom are now administrative hearings and not a common law court. There is no Act of Parliament that gives authority of an administrative hearing. **A judge that acts contrary to their judicial oath – can suffer a commercial lien as can any individual that causes a tort.**

I do not consent to the procedure of any hearing.

I will only attend a common law court – AS IS MY RIGHT

Dated this: Thursday the 26th January 2012

Michael of the family Clarke _____