

2nd Notice of Void Order by fraud - case number: 2MA90015 – 13th September 2016

A notice of "Committal Court Order" that was issued to the dead fiction Mr MICHAEL CLARKE in relation to the above case number. **This NOTICE - Order/Judgement is void.**

The above case is void, unlawful, any and all judgements in relation to the above case are void, to be struck out, and shall be dismissed, due to the proceedings that took place on 14th January 2013 at Manchester High Court (plc) being unlawful administrative proceedings, as confirmed by Haylsburys Law of England and Wales 2011.

The Law of England and Wales finds magistrates courts to be unlawful courts of administration; cf. Halsbury's Laws of England 2011; that no MAGISTRATES COURT should exist, and when someone is summoned, it is an ADMINISTRATIVE meeting without ANY lawful existence.

If this has transpired (to which it has), it is in breach of the Fraud Act 2006 as the Magistrate's, Clerk and Prosecutor step outside their lawful remit, and become personally liable.

Halsbury's Laws of England states that the Oath does not authorize any Judge or Magistrate, in the Common Law Jurisdiction of England and Wales, to adjudicate any Hearing in which the matter is to be decided in any way **other than by a Jury.**

The fact that PELLING/pelling sat, is prima facie evidence of offence's.

Therefore the Common Law Oath under which PELLING claimed authority has been administered unlawfully and resulting orders are void. Their actions performing administrative acts on behalf of the executive is incompatible with the terms of the Oath, which they are bound (under Section 2 of the Promissory Oaths Act 1868). A breach of that Oath is perjury.

Therefore, the Hearing in question, held at MANCHESTER HIGH Court on 14th January 2013, case number:2MA90015, where there is no Injured Party ~ corpus delicti not being established, and thus no evidence to confirm a crime has been committed, the proceedings are void, should be struck off any record, and the case dismissed.

This is further confirmed by The County Court Act 1984 Section 150 (2) Every court so held shall be called a county court and shall be a court of record and shall have a court seal. Where is HIGH court of record? Where is the courts seal upon the alleged order it has fraudulently tried to impose?

If you believe this not to be the case, please provide the specific written law allowing the common law oath of a Judge or Magistrate to preside over a statutory administrative case.

If you believe this not to be the case, please provided the amended section of The County Court act allowing a court to transpire without keeping such a record of proceedings, and allowing the court issuance of documents without a court seal?

If you believe this not to be the case please provide, where in law it states that a Magistrate may contravene;

- Observance of due process of Law (1368) 3. None shall be put to answer without due process of Law.

- Statutory Declarations Act (1835) Section 13
- Fraud Act (2006) Section 4:

Ignorance of the law is no excuse, as you will be well aware.

If the argument is that Common Law has no basis in administrative law proceedings (and therefore is irrelevant), it should be noted that administrative law has not been sanctioned by Parliament; Hence Haylsburys Law of England and Wales 2011 stating that the proceedings of a Magistrate court are unlawful.

i man will require just compensation for the action brought about by the court, from the man PELLING/pelling, who conducted the private administrative hearing. If required, i: will open my own case against the named individuals as they have acted outside of their jurisdiction and have attempted to cause harm, distress and a loss to my being.

i hope we have an accord as to the severity of the matter, i: look forward to hearing from the court to advise on its intensions for action of recourse.

Implied admission absent in response, your compliance is required; CPR 5.4.2; i: require full disclosure of the case management file (access to and copy of required documents only, a copy of the entire case file is requested, at this time,).

ADDITIONAL to the above i man mike clarke on or around 2006 underwent a masonic ceremony of 3rd degree master mason in which said ceremony i man was raised from the dead and any/all proceedings against i man from that date under DEAD cestui que vie trust administrative maritime law is NULL and VOID.

FORM 206 evidence of life also has been submitted with deed poll name changes to lawful land jurisdiction for mike clarke whom is NOT DEAD@SEA ABANDONED

i man mike clarke demand urgent response to confirm nullity.

mike@rake.net

published on www.opg.me

dated September 13 2016